## **IBM Research Report**

## **Gersgorin Variations II: On Themes of Fan and Gudkov**

Alan J. Hoffman

IBM Research Division Thomas J. Watson Research Center P.O. Box 218 Yorktown Heights, NY 10598



Research Division Almaden - Austin - Beijing - Haifa - India - T. J. Watson - Tokyo - Zurich

LIMITED DISTRIBUTION NOTICE: This report has been submitted for publication outside of IBM and will probably be copyrighted if accepted for publication. It has been issued as a Research Report for early dissemination of its contents. In view of the transfer of copyright to the outside publication, its distributionoutside of IBM prior to publication should be limited to peer communications and specific requests. After outside publication, requests should be filled only by reprints or legally obtained copies of the article (e.g. payment of royalties). Copies may be requested from IBM T. J. Watson Research Center, P. O. Box 218, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598 USA (email: reports@us.ibm.com). Some reports are available on the internet at <a href="http://domino.watson.ibm.com/library/CyberDig.nsf/home">http://domino.watson.ibm.com/library/CyberDig.nsf/home</a>

## GERSGORIN VARIATIONS II: ON THEMES OF FAN AND GUDKOV

ALAN J. HOFFMAN \*

Dedicated to Charles A. Micchelli, in celebration of his 60th birthday and our 30 years of friendship

**Abstract.** Assume  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  is a family of nonnegative functions of n-1 nonnegative variables such that, for every matrix A of order n,  $|a_{ii}| > f_i$  (moduli of off-diagonal entries in row i of A) for all i implies A nonsingular. We show that there is a positive vector x, depending only on F, such that for all  $A = (a_{ij})$ , and all i,  $f_i \ge \sum_j |a_{ij}| \frac{x_j}{x_i}$ . This improves a theorem of Ky Fan [F], and yields a generalization of a non-singularity criterion of Gudkov [Gu].

**1. Introduction.** If a complex matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  satisfies

(1.1) 
$$|a_{ii}| > \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}| \text{ for all } i,$$

then A is nonsingular. This famous Levy-Desplanques sufficient condition for nonsingularity [L] is equivalent to the more famous Gersgorin theorem [Ge]: every eigenvalue of A lies in

(1.2) 
$$\bigcup_{i} \left\{ z \mid |a_{ii} - z| \leq \sum_{j} |a_{ij}| \right\}.$$

There are many many generalizations and adumbrations of (1.1) and (1.2), and we have decided to call them Gersgorin Variations [Ho4]. In this paper, we recall a variation due to Gudkov [Gu], another variation due to Ostrowski [O], and combine them.

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, IBM Research Division, T. J. Watson Research Center, P.O.Box 218, Yorktown Heights, N. Y. 10598

Gudkov defines inductively

(1.3) 
$$\begin{cases} R_1(A) = \sum_{j>1} |a_{ij}|, \\ R_k(A) = \sum_{jk} |a_{kj}|, \quad k = 2, \cdots, n. \end{cases}$$

His theorem states: if

(1.4) 
$$|a_{ii}| > R_i(A) \text{ for } i = 2, \cdots, n,$$

then A is nonsingular. Since (1.1) implies (1.4), Gudkov's theorem implies Levy-Desplanques.

Ostrowski proved many generalizations of Levy-Desplanques, among them [O]: if

(1.5) 
$$\begin{cases} p > 0, q > 0, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \sum \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_i^q} \leq 1, \text{ and} \\ |a_{ii}| > \alpha_i \Big(\sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|^p \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ for all } i, \end{cases}$$

then A is nonsingular.

Our aim is to prove a theorem which extends (1.5)-indeed a considerable generalization of (1.5)-in the same way that (1.4) extends (1.1). Before stating this extension, we need a definition.

A family  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  of nonnegative functions of the moduli of the offdiagonal entries of a complex matrix of order n is a "G-function" (G for Gersgorin) if for every matrix A,  $|a_{ii}| > f_i(A)$  for all i implies A nonsingular (the concept was introduced in [N] and named in [Ho1]. See also [NT, Ho2, Ho3, CV], and [HV]). Fis a "row G-function" if, for all i,  $f_i$  depends only on the moduli of the off-diagonal entries in  $A_i$  (the *i*th row of A).

THEOREM 1.1. Let  $F = \{f_i, \dots, f_n\}$  be a row G-function, A a matrix of order

n. Define

(1.6) 
$$\begin{cases} F_1(A) = f_1(|a_{12}|, \cdots, |a_{1n}|) \\ F_k(A) = f_k(|a_{k1}| \frac{F_1(A)}{|a_{11}|}, \cdots, |a_{k,k-1}| \frac{F_{k-1}(A)}{|a_{k-1,k-1}|}; \\ |a_{k,k+1}|, \cdots, |a_{kn}|), k = 2, \cdots, n, \end{cases}$$

If

(1.7) 
$$|a_{ii}| > F_i(A) \text{ for } i = 2, \cdots, n,$$

then A is nonsingular.

1

Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of theorem 1.2, which is an improvement of a theorem of Ky Fan  $^{1}$  [F].

Ky Fan proved (see also [CH]) that if  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  is a G-function, then for every matrix A, there exists a positive vector x such that

(1.8) 
$$f_i(A) \ge \sum_{j \ne i} |a_{ij}| \frac{x_j}{x_i}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, n.$$

We shall show that if F is a row G-function (so that we may write  $f_i(A_i)$  instead of  $f_i(A)$ ), then the order of the quantifiers preceding (1.8) can be interchanged.

THEOREM 1.2. If F is a row G-function, then there is positive vector x such that

(1.9) for every 
$$A, f_i(A_i) \ge \sum_{j \ne i} |a_{ij}| \frac{x_j}{x_i}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, n$$

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We shall call a function f monotone if  $f(x) \leq f(y)$ 

wherever  $x \leq y$ .

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  be a row G-function, and let

(2.1) 
$$\overline{f}_i(A_i) = \inf f_i(B_i) : |b_{ij}| \ge |a_{ij}|, \ i \ne j; \ i, j = 1, \cdots, n,$$

 $<sup>{}^{1}</sup>$ I would like this paper, whose appearance will approximate the 90th birthday of Ky Fan, to signify my admiration for this intellectual giant, who is also a very nice man.

(2.2a) 
$$\overline{F} = \{\overline{f}_1, \cdots, \overline{f}_n\} \text{ is a row } G\text{-function};$$

(2.2b) 
$$\overline{f}_i$$
 is monotone,  $i = 1, \cdots, n$ ,

(2.2c) 
$$f_i \ge \overline{f}_i, i = 1, \cdots, n$$

Since (2.2b) and (2.2c) are immediate from (2.1), all we need prove is (2.2a). Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then  $\overline{f}_i(A_i) > f_i(B_i) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for some  $B_i$  with  $b_{ij} \ge |a_{ij}|, i \ne j, i, j = 1, \dots, n$  from (2.1). So  $\overline{f}_i(A_i) + \epsilon > f_i(B_i) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}, i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let B be the matrix with off-diagonal rows  $B_1, \dots, B_n$ . By (1.8), there is a positive vector  $x(\frac{\epsilon}{2})$  such that, for all i,

(2.3) 
$$\overline{f}_i(A_i) + \epsilon > f_i(B_i) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} > \sum_{j \neq i} |b_{ij}| \frac{x_j}{x_i} \ge \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}| \frac{x_j}{x_i}.$$

Rewrite (2.3) as

(2.3a) 
$$x_i(\overline{f}_i(A_i) + \epsilon) \ge \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}| x_j.$$

Since (2.3a) is homogeneous, we may assume  $x \in S_n$ , the simplex of all nonnegative vectors  $x = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  with  $\sum x_j = 1$ . In (2.3a) the vector  $x = x(\frac{\epsilon}{2})$ . Choose a sequence of  $\epsilon$ 's tending to 0 such that the corresponding  $x = x(\frac{\epsilon}{2})$  converge, say to  $\overline{x}$ . Then (2.3a) becomes

(2.3b) 
$$\overline{x}_i \overline{f}_i(A_i) \ge \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}| \overline{x}_j$$

Now each  $\overline{x}_i$  is different from 0. If, for example  $\overline{x}_1 = 0$ , then some  $\overline{x}_k > 0$ , because  $\overline{x} \in S_n$ . Then (2.3b) would assert(if  $|a_{1k}| \neq 0$ )

$$0 \ge \sum_{j \ne i} |a_{ij}| \overline{x}_j \ge |a_{1k}| \overline{x}_k > 0$$
4

then

a contradiction. Hence (2.3b) holds for all *i*, and each  $\overline{x}_i > 0$ . This prove

(2.3c) 
$$\overline{f}_i(A_i) \ge \sum_{j \ne i} |a_{ij}| \frac{\overline{x}_j}{\overline{x}_i}.$$

Let X be the diagonal matrix whose entries are taken from the vector x. From (2.3c), we see that

$$|a_{ii}| > \overline{f}_i(A_i) \geqq \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}| \frac{\overline{x}_j}{\overline{x}_i} \quad \text{for all } i$$

implies, from (1.1), that  $X^{-1}AX$  is nonsingular. Therefore,  $\overline{F} = \{\overline{f}_1, \dots, \overline{f}_n\}$  is a row G-function, since  $X^{-1}AX$  nonsingular means A is nonsingular. So lemma 2.1 is true.

We prove Theorem 1.2 by induction on n. The theorem is trivially true if n = 1. Further, reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, all we need prove is that there exists  $x \in S_n$  such that, for all i and  $A_i$ ,

(2.4) 
$$\overline{f}_i(A_i)x_i - \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|x_j \ge 0,$$

since  $f_i \geq \overline{f}_i$  by (2.2c).

Now, for each i, (2.4) asserts that x lies in the intersection of an infinite set of closed half-spaces; and, considering all i, we must show x is in the intersection of n infinite sets of closed half-spaces. These half-spaces are closed convex sets, and we will invoke Helly's theorem( [He], see [DGK] for a wonderful discussion). One form of Helly's theorem asserts that if  $\{K_{\alpha}\}$  is a(possibly infinite) family of closed convex sets of a compact region of Euclidean m-space, then  $\bigcap_{\alpha} K_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$  if, for every  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_{m+1}, \bigcap_{1}^{m+1} K_{\alpha_i} \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $S_n$  is a compact region of Euclidean n-1 space, all we need prove is that, given any n inequalities of (2.4), they are satisfied by some  $x \in S_n$ .

Suppose that the row indices of the n inequalities are all distinct. Then (2.4) holds because of Ky Fan's theorem, (1.8).

Suppose that the row indices are a proper subset  $T = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . For ease of notation, assume  $T = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , m < n. Let B be a matrix of order n which is the leading principal submatrix of a matrix A of order n, and where every diagonal entry of A is nonzero and every off-diagonal entry of A not in B is 0. Define, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \overline{f}_{i,m}(B_i)$  to be  $\overline{f}_i(A_i)$  of the aforementioned A. Since A is nonsingular if and only if B is nonsingular, if follows that  $\{\overline{f}_{1,m}, \dots, \overline{f}_{m,m}\}$  is a row G-family of order m. Using the induction hypotheses and (2.2b), the n inequalities in this case of (2.4) are also consistent. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let x be the positive vector (from Theorem 1.2) satisfying (1.9) and (2.4), and let X be the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries come from X. We shall show that, for each k,

(3.1) 
$$F_k(A) \ge R_k(X^{-1}AX),$$

from which Theorem 1.1 follows at once. We prove (3.1) by induction on k.

If k = 1, (3.1) is (1.9) for i = 1. Assume (3.1) has been shown for  $1, 2, \dots, k - 1$ . Then

$$\overline{F}_{k}(A) = f_{k} \left( |a_{k1}| \frac{F_{1}(A)}{|a_{11}|}, \cdots, |a_{k,k-1}| \frac{F_{k-1}(A)}{|a_{k-1,k-1}|}; |a_{k,k+1}|, \cdots, |a_{k,n}| \right)$$

$$\geq \overline{f}_{k} \left( |a_{k1}| \frac{F_{1}(A)}{|a_{11}|}, \cdots, |a_{k,k-1}| \frac{F_{k-1}(A)}{|a_{k-1,k-1}|}; |a_{k,k+1}|, \cdots, |a_{k,n}| \right), \quad \text{by (2.2c)}$$

$$\geq \overline{f}_{k} \left( |a_{k1}| \frac{R_{1}(X^{-1}AX)}{|a_{11}|}, \cdots, |a_{k,k-1}| \frac{R_{k-1}(X^{-1}AX)}{|a_{k-1,k-1}|}; |a_{k,k+1}|, \cdots, |a_{k,n}| \right),$$
by induction and (2.2b)

by induction and (2.2b)

$$\geqq R_k(X^{-1}AX), \quad \text{by (2.4)} .$$

I thank Yi Wang of Fairmont State College for his help in the preparation of this

paper.

## REFERENCES

- [CH] P. Camion and A. Hoffman, On the nonsingularity of complex matrices, Pac. J. Math. 17, 211-214, 1956
- [CV] D. Carlson and R. Varga, Minimal G-functions I, II Linear Algebra and Appls. 6, 97-117, 1973 and 7, 233-242, 1973
- [DGK] L. Danzer, B. Grunbaum and V. Klee, Helly's theorem and its relatives, in Convexity (edited by V. Klee), Proc. Symposia in Pure Math., Amer. Math. Soc., 1963
- [F] K. Fan, Note on circular disks containing the eigenvalues of a matrix, Duke Math J. 25, 441-445, 1958
- [Ge] S. Gersgorin, Uber die Abgrenzung der Eigenwerte einer Matrix Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Fiz.-Mat. 6, 749-754, 1931
- [Gu] V. Gudkov, On a certain test for nonsingularity of matrices (Russian) Latvian Math. Yearbook 1965, 385-390 Izdat. "Zinatne", 1966
- [He] E. Helly, Uber Mengen konvexer Korper mit gemeinschaftlichen Punkten, Jber. Deutsch. Math. Verein 32, 175-176, 1923
- [Ho1] A. Hoffman Generalizations of Gerschgorin's theorem: G-generating families, lecture notes, University of California at Santa Barbara, 1969.
- [Ho2] A. Hoffman, Linear G-functions, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 3, 45-52, 1975
- [Ho3] A. Hoffman, Combinatorial aspects of Gerschgorin's theorem, Recent Trends in Graph Theory, M. Capobianco, J. Frechen and M. Krolik (editors), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 186, Springer-Verlag, 173-179, 1970
- [Ho4] A. Hoffman, Gersgorin Variations I; On a theme of Pupkov and Solov'ev, Linear Algebra Appls. 304, 173-177, 2000
- [HV] A. Hoffman and R. Varga, Patterns of dependence in generalizations of Gerschgorin's theorem, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 7, 571-574, 1970
- [L] L. Levy, Sur la possibilite du l'equilibre electrique, C. R. Aad. Si. Paris 93, 706-708, 1881
- [N] P. Nowosad, On the functional (1/x, Ax) and some of its applications, Ann. Acad. Brasil
   Ci. 37, 163-165, 1965
- [NT] P, Nowosad and R. Tovar, Spectral inequalities and G-functions, Linear Algebra Appls. 31,

179-197, 1980

- [O] A. Ostrowski, Uber das Nichtverschwinden einer Klasse von Determinanten und die Lokalisierung der charakteristichen Wurzeln von Matrizen, Compositio Math. 9, 209-226, 1951
- [T] O. Taussky, A recurring theorem on determinants, Amer. Math. Monthly 56, 672-676, 1949