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#### Abstract

Assume $F=\left\{f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right\}$ is a family of nonnegative functions of $n-1$ nonnegative variables such that, for every matrix $A$ of order $n,\left|a_{i i}\right|>f_{i}$ (moduli of off-diagonal entries in row $i$ of $A$ ) for all $i$ implies $A$ nonsingular. We show that there is a positive vector $x$, depending only on $F$, such that for all $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$, and all $i, f_{i} \geqq \sum_{j}\left|a_{i j}\right| \frac{x_{j}}{x_{i}}$. This improves a theorem of Ky Fan $[\mathrm{F}]$, and yields a generalization of a non-singularity criterion of Gudkov [Gu].


1. Introduction. If a complex matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{i i}\right|>\sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{i j}\right| \text { for all } i \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $A$ is nonsingular. This famous Levy-Desplanques sufficient condition for nonsingularity $[\mathrm{L}]$ is equivalent to the more famous Gersgorin theorem [Ge]: every eigenvalue of A lies in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcup_{i}\left\{z| | a_{i i}-z\left|\leqq \sum_{j}\right| a_{i j} \mid\right\} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are many many generalizations and adumbrations of (1.1) and (1.2), and we have decided to call them Gersgorin Variations [Ho4]. In this paper, we recall a variation due to Gudkov [Gu], another variation due to Ostrowski [O], and combine them.

[^0]Gudkov defines inductively

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
R_{1}(A) & =\sum_{j>1}\left|a_{i j}\right|  \tag{1.3}\\
R_{k}(A) & =\sum_{j<k}\left|a_{k j}\right| \frac{R_{j}(A)}{a_{j j}}+\sum_{j>k}\left|a_{k j}\right|, \quad k=2, \cdots, n
\end{align*}\right.
$$

His theorem states: if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{i i}\right|>R_{i}(A) \text { for } i=2, \cdots, n \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $A$ is nonsingular. Since (1.1) implies (1.4), Gudkov's theorem implies LevyDesplanques.

Ostrowski proved many generalizations of Levy-Desplanques, among them [O]: if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p>0, q>0, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1, \sum \frac{1}{1+\alpha_{i}^{q}} \leqq 1, \text { and }  \tag{1.5}\\
\left|a_{i i}\right|>\alpha_{i}\left(\sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{i j}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text { for all } i,
\end{array}\right.
$$

then $A$ is nonsingular.

Our aim is to prove a theorem which extends (1.5)-indeed a considerable generalization of (1.5)-in the same way that (1.4) extends (1.1). Before stating this extension, we need a definition.

A family $F=\left\{f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right\}$ of nonnegative functions of the moduli of the offdiagonal entries of a complex matrix of order $n$ is a "G-function" (G for Gersgorin) if for every matrix $A,\left|a_{i i}\right|>f_{i}(A)$ for all $i$ implies $A$ nonsingular (the concept was introduced in [N] and named in [Ho1]. See also [NT, Ho2, Ho3, CV], and [HV]). F is a "row G-function" if, for all $i, f_{i}$ depends only on the moduli of the off-diagonal entries in $A_{i}$ (the $i$ th row of $A$ ).

Theorem 1.1. Let $F=\left\{f_{i}, \cdots, f_{n}\right\}$ be a row $G$-function, $A$ a matrix of order 2
n. Define

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
F_{1}(A)= & f_{1}\left(\left|a_{12}\right|, \cdots,\left|a_{1 n}\right|\right)  \tag{1.6}\\
F_{k}(A)= & f_{k}\left(\left|a_{k 1}\right| \frac{F_{1}(A)}{\left|a_{11}\right|}, \cdots,\left|a_{k, k-1}\right| \frac{F_{k-1}(A)}{\left|a_{k-1, k-1}\right|}\right. \\
& \left.\left|a_{k, k+1}\right|, \cdots,\left|a_{k n}\right|\right), k=2, \cdots, n
\end{align*}\right.
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{i i}\right|>F_{i}(A) \text { for } i=2, \cdots, n \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $A$ is nonsingular.
Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of theorem 1.2, which is an improvement of a theorem of Ky Fan ${ }^{1}[\mathrm{~F}]$.

Ky Fan proved (see also $[\mathrm{CH}]$ ) that if $F=\left\{f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right\}$ is a G-function, then for every matrix $A$, there exists a positive vector $x$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}(A) \geqq \sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{i j}\right| \frac{x_{j}}{x_{i}}, \quad i=1, \cdots, n \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall show that if $F$ is a row G-function (so that we may write $f_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)$ instead of $\left.f_{i}(A)\right)$, then the order of the quantifiers preceding (1.8) can be interchanged.

Theorem 1.2. If $F$ is a row $G$-function, then there is positive vector $x$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for every } A, f_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) \geqq \sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{i j}\right| \frac{x_{j}}{x_{i}}, \quad i=1, \cdots, n \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. We shall call a function $f$ monotone if $f(x) \leqq f(y)$ wherever $x \leqq y$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $F=\left\{f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right\}$ be a row $G$-function, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)=\inf f_{i}\left(B_{i}\right):\left|b_{i j}\right| \geqq\left|a_{i j}\right|, i \neq j ; i, j=1, \cdots, n, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]then
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}=\left\{\bar{f}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{f}_{n}\right\} \text { is a row G-function; } \tag{2.2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{f}_{i} \text { is monotone, } i=1, \cdots, n,  \tag{2.2b}\\
f_{i} \geqq \bar{f}_{i}, i=1, \cdots, n
\end{gather*}
$$

Since (2.2b) and (2.2c) are immediate from (2.1), all we need prove is (2.2a). Let $\epsilon>0$ be given. Then $\bar{f}_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)>f_{i}\left(B_{i}\right)-\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for some $B_{i}$ with $b_{i j} \geqq\left|a_{i j}\right|, i \neq j, i, j=$ $1, \cdots, n$ from (2.1). So $\bar{f}_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)+\epsilon>f_{i}\left(B_{i}\right)+\frac{\epsilon}{2}, i=1, \cdots, n$. Let $B$ be the matrix with off-diagonal rows $B_{1}, \cdots, B_{n}$. By (1.8), there is a positive vector $x\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)$ such that, for all $i$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)+\epsilon>f_{i}\left(B_{i}\right)+\frac{\epsilon}{2}>\sum_{j \neq i}\left|b_{i j}\right| \frac{x_{j}}{x_{i}} \geqq \sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{i j}\right| \frac{x_{j}}{x_{i}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rewrite (2.3) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}\left(\bar{f}_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)+\epsilon\right) \geqq \sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{i j}\right| x_{j} . \tag{2.3a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (2.3a) is homogeneous, we may assume $x \in S_{n}$, the simplex of all nonnegative vectors $x=\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}$ with $\sum x_{j}=1$. In (2.3a) the vector $x=x\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)$. Choose a sequence of $\epsilon$ 's tending to 0 such that the corresponding $x=x\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)$ converge, say to $\bar{x}$. Then (2.3a) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}_{i} \bar{f}_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) \geqq \sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{i j}\right| \bar{x}_{j} \tag{2.3b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now each $\bar{x}_{i}$ is different from 0 . If, for example $\bar{x}_{1}=0$, then some $\bar{x}_{k}>0$, because $\bar{x} \in S_{n}$. Then (2.3b) would assert(if $\left.\left|a_{1 k}\right| \neq 0\right)$

$$
0 \geqq \sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{i j}\right| \bar{x}_{j} \geqq\left|a_{1 k}\right| \bar{x}_{k}>0
$$

a contradiction. Hence (2.3b) holds for all $i$, and each $\bar{x}_{i}>0$. This prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) \geqq \sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{i j}\right| \frac{\bar{x}_{j}}{\bar{x}_{i}} \tag{2.3c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $X$ be the diagonal matrix whose entries are taken from the vector $x$. From (2.3c), we see that

$$
\left|a_{i i}\right|>\bar{f}_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) \geqq \sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{i j}\right| \frac{\bar{x}_{j}}{\bar{x}_{i}} \quad \text { for all } i
$$

implies, from (1.1), that $X^{-1} A X$ is nonsingular. Therefore, $\bar{F}=\left\{\bar{f}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{f}_{n}\right\}$ is a row G-function, since $X^{-1} A X$ nonsingular means $A$ is nonsingular. So lemma 2.1 is true.

We prove Theorem 1.2 by induction on $n$. The theorem is trivially true if $n=1$. Further, reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, all we need prove is that there exists $x \in S_{n}$ such that, for all $i$ and $A_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x_{i}-\sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{i j}\right| x_{j} \geqq 0, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $f_{i} \geqq \bar{f}_{i}$ by (2.2c).
Now, for each $i,(2.4)$ asserts that $x$ lies in the intersection of an infinite set of closed half-spaces; and, considering all $i$, we must show $x$ is in the intersection of $n$ infinite sets of closed half-spaces. These half-spaces are closed convex sets, and we will invoke Helly's theorem( [He], see [DGK] for a wonderful discussion). One form of Helly's theorem asserts that if $\left\{K_{\alpha}\right\}$ is a(possibly infinite) family of closed convex sets of a compact region of Euclidean m-space, then $\bigcap_{\alpha} K_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$ if, for every $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{m+1}, \bigcap_{1}^{m+1} K_{\alpha_{i}} \neq \emptyset$. Since $S_{n}$ is a compact region of Euclidean $n-1$ space, all we need prove is that, given any $n$ inequalities of (2.4), they are satisfied by some $x \in S_{n}$.

Suppose that the row indices of the $n$ inequalities are all distinct. Then (2.4) holds because of Ky Fan's theorem, (1.8).

Suppose that the row indices are a proper subset $T=\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$. For ease of notation, assume $T=\{1,2, \cdots, m\}, m<n$. Let $B$ be a matrix of order $n$ which is the leading principal submatrix of a matrix $A$ of order $n$, and where every diagonal entry of $A$ is nonzero and every off-diagonal entry of $A$ not in $B$ is 0 . Define, for $i=1,2, \cdots, m, \bar{f}_{i, m}\left(B_{i}\right)$ to be $\bar{f}_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)$ of the aforementioned $A$. Since $A$ is nonsingular if and only if $B$ is nonsingular, if follows that $\left\{\bar{f}_{1, m}, \cdots, \bar{f}_{m, m}\right\}$ is a row G-family of order $m$. Using the induction hypotheses and (2.2b), the $n$ inequalities in this case of (2.4) are also consistent. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.
3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $x$ be the positive vector (from Theorem 1.2) satisfying (1.9) and (2.4), and let $X$ be the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries come from $X$. We shall show that, for each $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{k}(A) \geqq R_{k}\left(X^{-1} A X\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which Theorem 1.1 follows at once. We prove (3.1) by induction on $k$.
If $k=1,(3.1)$ is (1.9) for $i=1$. Assume (3.1) has been shown for $1,2, \cdots, k-1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{F}_{k}(A) & =f_{k}\left(\left|a_{k 1}\right| \frac{F_{1}(A)}{\left|a_{11}\right|}, \cdots,\left|a_{k, k-1}\right| \frac{F_{k-1}(A)}{\left|a_{k-1, k-1}\right|} ;\left|a_{k, k+1}\right|, \cdots,\left|a_{k, n}\right|\right) \\
& \geqq \bar{f}_{k}\left(\left|a_{k 1}\right| \frac{F_{1}(A)}{\left|a_{11}\right|}, \cdots,\left|a_{k, k-1}\right| \frac{F_{k-1}(A)}{\left|a_{k-1, k-1}\right|} ;\left|a_{k, k+1}\right|, \cdots,\left|a_{k, n}\right|\right), \quad \text { by }(2.2 \mathrm{c}) \\
& \geqq \bar{f}_{k}\left(\left|a_{k 1}\right| \frac{R_{1}\left(X^{-1} A X\right)}{\left|a_{11}\right|}, \cdots,\left|a_{k, k-1}\right| \frac{R_{k-1}\left(X^{-1} A X\right)}{\left|a_{k-1, k-1}\right|} ;\left|a_{k, k+1}\right|, \cdots,\left|a_{k, n}\right|\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

by induction and (2.2b)

$$
\geqq R_{k}\left(X^{-1} A X\right), \quad \text { by }(2.4)
$$

I thank Yi Wang of Fairmont State College for his help in the preparation of this
paper.
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