# **IBM Research Report**

# **Constructive Bounds on Ordered Factorizations**

# **Don Coppersmith**

IBM Research Division
Thomas J. Watson Research Center
P.O. Box 218
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

### **Moshe Lewenstein**

Bar Ilan University Ramat Gan 52900 Israel



# Constructive bounds on ordered factorizations

Don Coppersmith\*

Moshe Lewenstein<sup>†</sup>

February 10, 2004

#### Abstract

The number of ways to factor a natural number into an ordered product of integers, each factor greater than one, is called the *ordered factorization of* n and is denoted H(n). We show upper and lower bounds on H(n) with explicit constructions.

### 1 Introduction

For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , let H(n) denote the number of ordered factorizations of n, by which we mean expressions of n as the product of integers  $p_i \geq 2$  where the order of factors is essential. Equivalently, H(n) is the number of tuples  $(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k)$  with  $p_i \geq 2$  and  $\prod p_i = n$ , without restrictions on k. H(1) = 1 by convention, the only factorization being () with k = 0. H(20) = 8, the factorizations being (20), (10,2), (5,4), (5,2,2), (4,5), (2,10), (2,5,2), (2,2,5). Newberg and Naor[3] use H(n) as a lower bound for an application in computational biology.

Define

$$\rho = \zeta^{-1}(2) \approx 1.7264724,$$

where  $\zeta$  is the Riemann zeta function, so that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\rho}} = 2,$$

and more usefully,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\rho}} = 1.$$

Hille [2] showed the existence of a constant c such that  $H(n) \leq cn^{\rho}$ ; Chor et al. [1] improved this to c = 1:

$$H(n) \le n^{\rho}. \tag{1}$$

Hille also gave an existential lower bound: for all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\limsup \frac{H(n)}{n^{\rho-\epsilon}} = \infty.$$
(2)

Newberg and Naor show an explicit construction lower bounding H(n) with  $n \log^c n$  for some c. Chor *et al.* gave explicit constructions for certain values of  $\epsilon$ .

In this note we give simplified proofs of both upper and lower bounds.

<sup>\*</sup>IBM Thomas J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598 USA. email: dcopper@us.ibm.com.

<sup>†</sup>Bar Ilan University, Ramat Gan 52900, Israel. email: moshe@cs.biu.ac.il.

# 2 Upper bound

The upper bound  $H(n) \leq n^{\rho}$  is proven by induction on n. The base case n = 1 is satisfied. Suppose the result is true for all n' < n. We count the ordered factorizations of n according to their first element  $p_1$ , which is a factor of n larger than 1. The remainder  $(p_2, \ldots, p_k)$  is an ordered factorization of  $n/p_1$ . So we have

$$H(n) = \sum_{d|n,d>1} H(n/d).$$

By induction,

$$H(n/d) \leq (n/d)^{\rho}$$

so that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H(n) & = & \sum_{d|n,d>1} H(n/d) \leq \sum_{d|n,d>1} \frac{n^{\rho}}{d^{\rho}} < n^{\rho} \sum_{d>1} \frac{1}{d^{\rho}} \\ & = & n^{\rho} (\zeta(\rho) - 1) = n^{\rho} (2 - 1) = n^{\rho}, \end{array}$$

completing the induction. In fact we see that the inequality is strict for n > 1.

### 3 Lower bound

For  $\alpha = \rho - \epsilon$  we will give a family of integers n for which  $\limsup H(n)/n^{\alpha} = \infty$ . Because  $\zeta(t)$  is strictly monotone decreasing in t, we know

$$\zeta(\alpha) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} > 2.$$

There is a finite integer b for which already

$$\sum_{1}^{b} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} > 2.$$

Use monotonicity again to claim there is  $\gamma$  with  $\alpha < \gamma < \rho$  satisfying

$$\sum_{1}^{b} \frac{1}{n^{\gamma}} = 2,$$

or, more usefully,

$$\sum_{2}^{b} \frac{1}{n^{\gamma}} = 1.$$

Fix such  $\alpha, b, \gamma$ .

Now select a large integer t. For k = 2, 3, ..., b, we define

$$c_k = |t/k^{\gamma}|.$$

Set  $u = \sum c_k$ , so that  $0 \le t - u \le b - 2$ . Define

$$n = \prod_{k=2}^{b} k^{c_k}.$$

We will compare H(n) to  $n^{\alpha}$ . Among the ordered factorizations counted by H(n) are the orderings of  $(c_2$  copies of  $2, \ldots, c_b$  copies of b). The number of such orderings is given by the multinomial coefficient

$$v(n) = \frac{u!}{\prod_{k=2}^{b} c_k!}.$$

From Stirling's approximation,

$$v(n) = \prod_{k} \left(\frac{u}{c_k}\right)^{c_k} \times \sqrt{\frac{2\pi u}{\prod (2\pi c_k)}} \times [1 + o(1)],$$

where the o(1) term goes to 0 with increasing  $c_k$  and hence with increasing n.

To estimate the first product, recall  $c_k \leq t/k^{\gamma}$ , so that

$$\prod_{k} \left(\frac{u}{c_k}\right)^{c_k} \ge \prod_{k} \left(\frac{uk^{\gamma}}{t}\right)^{c_k} = (u/t)^u (\prod_{k} k^{c_k})^{\gamma}$$

We have  $(u/t)^u \ge e^{-(t-u)} \ge e^{-b+2}$ , while the other factor is simply  $n^{\gamma}$ . So our first product is at least  $e^{-b+2}n^{\gamma}$ .

The second product is

$$\sqrt{\frac{2\pi u}{\prod (2\pi c_k)}}.$$

Notice that u and each  $c_k$  vary linearly with t and hence with  $\log n$ , the coefficients depending on b but not n. So the second product is

$$\Omega_b((\log n)^{-(b-2)/2}).$$

To estimate the implied coefficient:

$$\log n = \sum c_k \log k \approx t \sum k^{-\gamma} \log k$$

so that

$$u \approx \frac{\log n}{\sum_{2}^{b} k^{-\gamma} \log k},$$
$$c_{j} \approx \frac{\log n}{\sum_{2}^{b} k^{-\gamma} \log k} j^{-\gamma},$$

and we get that the second product is about

$$(2\pi)^{-(b-2)/2} \left(\prod_{n=0}^{b} j^{\gamma/2}\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} k^{-\gamma} \log k\right)^{(b-2)/2} (\log n)^{-(b-2)/2}$$
$$= b!^{\gamma/2} \left(\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} k^{-\gamma} \log k}{2\pi \log n}\right)^{(b-2)/2}.$$

Summarizing,

$$H(n) \ge v(n) \ge n^{\gamma} (\log n)^{-(b-2)/2} c_b (1 + o(1))$$

with

$$c_b = e^{-b+2}b!^{\gamma/2} \left(\frac{\sum k^{-\gamma} \log k}{2\pi}\right)^{(b-2)/2}.$$

Since  $\gamma > \alpha$ , we have

$$\lim_{n} \sup H(n)/n^{\alpha} = \infty,$$

as required.

# References

- [1] B. Chor, P. Lemke and Z. Mador. On the number of ordered factorizations of natural numbers. *Discrete Mathematics*, 214:123–133, 2000.
- $[2] \ \ \text{E. Hille.} \ \ \text{A problem in factorisation numerorum.} \ \ \textit{Acta Arithmetica}, \ 2(1):134-144, \ 1936.$
- [3] L.A. Newberg and D. Naor. A lower bound on the number of solutions to the probed partial digestion problem. *Advanced Applied Math.*, 14:172–183, 1993.