IBM Research Report

On Weak Convergence of Iterates in Quantum L_p -Spaces (p >= 1)

Genady Ya. Grabarnik, Alexander A. Katz*, Laura Shwartz IBM Research Division Thomas J. Watson Research Center P.O. Box 704 Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

*St. John's University



Research Division Almaden - Austin - Beijing - Haifa - India - T. J. Watson - Tokyo - Zurich

LIMITED DISTRIBUTION NOTICE: This report has been submitted for publication outside of IBM and will probably be copyrighted if accepted for publication. It has been issued as a Research Report for early dissemination of its contents. In view of the transfer of copyright to the outside publication, its distributionoutside of IBM prior to publication should be limited to peer communications and specific requests. After outside publication, requests should be filled only by reprints or legally obtained copies of the article (e.g. payment of royalties). Copies may be requested from IBM T. J. Watson Research Center, P. O. Box 218, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598 USA (email: reports@us.ibm.com). Some reports are available on the internet at http://domino.watson.ibm.com/library/CyberDig.nsf/home

ON WEAK CONVERGENCE OF ITERATES IN QUANTUM $L_p\text{-}\mathbf{SPACES} \ (p \geq 1)$

GENADY YA. GRABARNIK, ALEXANDER A. KATZ, AND LAURA SHWARTZ

ABSTRACT. Equivalent conditions are obtained for weak convergence of iterates of positive contractions in the L_1 spaces for general von Neumann algebra and general JBW-algebras, as well as for Segal-Dixmier L_p -spaces $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ affiliated to semifinite von Neumann algebras and semifinite JBW-algebras without direct summands of type I_2 .

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

This paper is devoted to a presentation of some results concerning ergodic type properties of weak convergence of iterates of operators acting in L_1 space for general von Neumann algebras and JBW-algebras, as well as Segal-Dixmier L_p -spaces $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ of operators affiliated with semifinite von Neumann algebras and semifinite JBW-algebras.

The first results in the field of non-commutative ergodic theory were obtained independently by Sinai and Anshelevich [21] and Lance [15]. Developments of the subject are reflected in the monographs of Jajte [13] and Krengel [14] (see also [8],[9],[10],[18]).

We will use facts and the terminology from the general theory of von Neumann algebras ([5],[7],[17],[19],[22]), the general theory of Jordan and Real operator algebras ([2],[3],[11],[16]), and the theory of non-commutative integration ([20],[24],[23]).

Let M be a von Neumann algebra, acting on a separable Hilbert space H, M_* is a pre-dual space of M, which always exists according to the Sakai theorem [19]. It is well known that M_* could be identified with L_1 -space for M.

Spaces L_1 and L_2 of the operators affiliated with the semifinite von Neumann algebra M with semifinite faithful trace τ were introduced by Segal (see [20]). This result was extended to L_p space of operators affiliated with von Neumann algebra M, τ and integrated with p-th power by Dixmier (see [6]). For an alternative exposition of building L_p based on Grothendieck's idea of using rearrangements of functions see also [24]. The theory of L_p spaces was extended further to the von

Date: March 25, 2005.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46L51; Secondary 47A35.

Key words and phrases. Von Neumann algebras, JBW-algebras, positive contractions, weak convergence of iterates, non-commutative L_p spaces.

First and second authors are thankful to Professor Michael S. Goldstein (University of Toronto, Canada) for helpful discussions.

Second author is thankful to the referee of the early vesion of the paper for helpful suggestions.

Third author is thankful to her Mentor, Dr. Lewis E. Labuschagne (UNISA, South Africa) for constant support.

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

Neumann algebras with faithful normal weight ρ . However, these spaces luck some of the properties, for example, in general, these spaces do not intersect.

Recall some standard terminology ([8],[9],[10],[14]).

Definition 1. A linear mapping T from M_* in itself is called a contraction if its norm is not greater then one.

Definition 2. A contraction T is said to be **positive** if

$$(1.1) TM_{*+} \subset M_{*+}.$$

We will consider the two topologies on the space M_* : the weak topology, or the $\sigma(M_*, M)$ topology, and the strong topology of the M_* -space norm convergence.

Definition 3. A matrix $(a_{n,i})$, i, n = 1, 2, ... of real numbers is called uniformly regular, if:

(1.2)
$$\sup_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{n,i}| \le C < \infty;$$

(1.3)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{i} |a_{n,i}| = 0;$$

(1.4)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i} a_{n,i} = 1$$

2. Main Result- the case of quantum L_1 -spaces

2.1. The case of non-commutative L_1 -spaces. The following theorem is valid:

Theorem 1. The following conditions for a positive contraction T in the pre-dual space of a Complex von Neumann algebras M are equivalent:

- i). The sequence $\{T^i\}_{i=1,2,...}$ converges weakly,
- ii). For each strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers $\{k_i\}_{i=1,2,\ldots,i}$

(2.1)
$$n^{-1} \sum_{i < n} T^{k_i},$$

converges strongly,

iii). For any uniformly regular matrix $(a_{n,i})$, the sequence $\{A_n(T)\}_{n=1,2,\ldots,n}$

(2.2)
$$A_n(T) = \sum_i a_{n,i} T^i$$

converges strongly.

Proof of the Theorem 1. We first prove the following lemma:

Lemma 1. Let there exists a uniformly regular matrix $(a_{n,i})$ such that for each strictly increasing sequence $\{k_i\}_{i=1,2,...}$ of natural numbers,

$$(2.3) B_n = \sum_i a_{n,i} T^{k_i},$$

converges strongly. Then the sequence $\{T^i\}_{i=1,2,...}$ converges weakly.

Proof. Let $(a_{n,i})$ be a matrix with the aforementioned properties. Then the limit B_n is not dependent upon the choice of the sequence $\{k_i\}_{i=1,2,...}$. In fact, let $\{k_i\}_{i=1,2,...}$ and $\{l_i\}_{i=1,2,...}$ be the sequences for which the limits B_n are different. This means that for some $x \in M_*$,

(2.4)
$$\sum_{i} a_{n,i} T^{k_i} x \to x_1,$$

and

(2.5)
$$\sum_{i} a_{n,i} T^{l_i} x \to x_2,$$

for $n \to \infty$. For a matrix $(a_{n,i})$ let us build increasing sequences $\{i_j\}_{j=1,2,\ldots}$ and $\{n_j\}_{j=1,2,\ldots}$, such that

(2.6)
$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \left(\sum_{i < i_{j-1}} \left| a_{n_j, i} \right| + \sum_{i > i_j} \left| a_{n_j, i} \right| \right) = 0.$$

Let

(2.7)
$$m_i = k_i$$
 for $i \in [i_{2j-1}, i_{2j})$ and $m_i = l_i$ for $i \in [i_{2j}, i_{2j+1}), j = 1, 2, \dots$
Then

(2.8)
$$\lim_{j} \left\| \sum_{i} a_{n_{2j+1},i} T^{m_{i}} x - x_{1} \right\| = 0;$$

(2.9)
$$\lim_{j} \left\| \sum_{i} a_{n_{2j},i} T^{m_i} x - x_2 \right\| = 0,$$

which contradicts (2.3), and therefore $x_1 = x_2$. Let now $y \in M$ is such that

$$(2.10) (T^n x - x_1, y) \to 0,$$

when $n \to \infty$. Let us choose a subsequence $\{k_i\}$ such that

(2.11)
$$(T^{k_i}x - x_1, y) \to \gamma \neq 0,$$

where γ is a real number. Then, from the uniform regularity of the matrix $(a_{n,i})$ it follows that

(2.12)
$$\lim_{n} (\sum_{i} a_{n,i} T^{k_i} x - x_1, y) = \gamma,$$

which contradicts the choice of the matrix $(a_{n,i})$.

Proof of the Theorem 1 (cont.) The implication $iii) \Longrightarrow ii$ is trivial, because the matrix $(a_{n,i})$,

$$(2.13) a_{n,i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i < n} \delta_{j,k_i},$$

is uniformly regular. Applying the above Lemma 1 to the matrix

(2.14)
$$a_{n,i} = \frac{1}{n},$$

 $i \leq n$ and $a_{n,i} = 0$ for i > n, we get the implication $ii \implies i$).

To prove the implication $i \implies iii$, we would need the following lemma:

Lemma 2. Let Q be a contraction in the Hilbert space H. Then the weak convergence of $Q^n x$ in H, where $x \in H$, implies the strong convergence of

(2.15)
$$\sum_{i} a_{n,i} Q^{i} x$$

for any uniformly regular matrix $(a_{n,i})$.

Proof. If the weak limit $Q^n x$ exists and equal to x_1 , then

(2.16)
$$Qx_1 = Q(\lim_{n \to \infty} Q^n x) = x_1,$$

where the limit is considered in the weak topology, i.e. x_1 is Q- invariant. Replacing x on $x - x_1$ (if necessary), we may suppose that $Q^n x$ converges weakly to $\mathbf{0}$, and hence

$$(2.17) \qquad \qquad (Q^n x, x) \to 0$$

We are going to show that

(2.18)
$$\sum_{n} a_{i,n} Q^n x \xrightarrow{\parallel \cdot \parallel} \mathbf{0}$$

where $(a_{i,n})$ uniformly regular matrix. One can see that (2.19)

$$\left\|\sum_{i} a_{N,i} Q^{i} x\right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{i} \sum_{j} a_{N,i} a_{N,j} (Q^{i} x, Q^{j} x) \leq \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \left| a_{N,i} a_{N,j} (Q^{i} x, Q^{j} x) \right|.$$

Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Because Q is a contraction, the limit $||Q^n x||$ does exist. Now, we can find K > 0, such that for k > K and $j \ge 0$,

(2.20)
$$\|Q^k x\| - \|Q^{k+j} x\| \le \varepsilon^2$$
 and

$$(2.21) \qquad \qquad \left| (Q^k x, x) \right| \le \varepsilon.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (Q^{k}x,x) - (Q^{k+j}x,Q^{j}x) \right| &= \left| (Q^{k}x,x) - (Q^{*j}Q^{k+j}x,x) \right| \leq \\ &\leq \left\| Q^{k}x - Q^{*j}Q^{k+j}x \right\| \cdot \|x\| = \left(\left\| Q^{k}x - Q^{*j}Q^{k+j} \right\|^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \|x\| = \\ &= \left(\left\| Q^{k}x \right\|^{2} - 2 \left\| Q^{k+j}x \right\|^{2} + \left\| Q^{*j}Q^{k+j}x \right\|^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \|x\| \leq \\ &\leq \left(\left\| Q^{k}x \right\|^{2} - \left\| Q^{k+j}x \right\|^{2} \right) \cdot \|x\| \leq \varepsilon \cdot \|x\|, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.22)$$

and therefore

 $(2.23) \qquad \qquad \left| (Q^{k+j}x, Q^j x) \right| \le \varepsilon \cdot (1 + \|x\|)$

for all k > K and $j \ge 0$, or for $|i - j| \ge k$, the inequality

(2.24)
$$\left| (Q^i x, Q^j x) \right| \le \varepsilon \cdot (1 + ||x||),$$

is valid. We will fix $\eta > 0$, and let N be such a natural number that

$$(2.25) \qquad \qquad \max_i |a_{n,i}| < \eta,$$

for $n \geq N$. Then the expression (1) for $n \geq N$ could be estimated the following way:

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \left| a_{N,i} a_{N,j} (Q^{i}x, Q^{j}x) \right| =$$

$$= \sum_{|i-j| \le k} \left| a_{n,i} a_{n,j} (Q^{i}x, Q^{j}x) \right| + \sum_{|i-j| > k} \left| a_{n,i} a_{n,j} (Q^{i}x, Q^{j}x) \right| \le$$

$$\leq \sum_{i} \left| a_{n,i} \right| \cdot \eta \cdot \|x\|^{2} \cdot (2k-1) + \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \left| a_{n,i} a_{n,j} \right| \cdot \varepsilon \cdot (1+\|x\|) \le$$

$$\geq C \cdot \eta \cdot \|x\|^{2} \cdot (2k-1) + C^{2} \cdot \varepsilon \cdot (1+\|x\|).$$

(2.26)

From the arbitrarity of the values of ε and η it follows that the strong convergence is present and the lemma is proven.

Proof of the Theorem 1 (cont.) Let us prove the implication $i \implies iii$). Let $x \in$ M_{*+} and the sequence $\{T^i x\}_{i=1,2,\dots}$ converges weakly. Without the loss of generality we can consider $||x|| \leq 1$, and let

(2.27)
$$\overline{x} = \lim_{n \to \infty} T^n x,$$

where the limit is understood in the weak sense. Let us consider

(2.28)
$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n} T^n x$$

The series that defines y is convergent in the norm of the space M_* . From the positivity of x and the properties of the operator T it follows that

$$(2.29) Ty \le 2y,$$

and, therefore, for all k = 1, 2, ...,

$$(2.30) s(T^k y) \le s(y),$$

where by s(z) we denote the support of the normal functional z.

Lemma 3. Let $u \in M_{*+}$ and $s(u) \leq s(y)$. Then $s(\overline{u}) \leq s(\overline{x})$, where

(2.31)
$$\overline{u} = \lim_{n \to \infty} T^n u.$$

Proof. In fact, let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$. From the density of the set

(2.32)
$$\mathfrak{L}_y = \{ w \in M_{*+}, w \le \lambda y, \text{ for some } \lambda > 0 \},\$$

in the set

(2.33)
$$\mathfrak{S} = \{ w \in M_{*+}, s(w) \le s(y) \},\$$

in the norm of the space M_* it follows that there are $\lambda > 0$ and $w \in \mathfrak{L}_y$ such that

$$||w - u|| \le \varepsilon \text{ and } w \le \lambda y.$$

Let

(2.35)
$$\overline{w} = \lim_{n \to \infty} T^n w.$$

Then

(2.36)

$$\overline{w}(\mathbf{1}-s(\overline{x})) = \\
= \lim_{n \to \infty} (T^n(w))(\mathbf{1}-s(\overline{x})) \leq \\
\leq \lambda \cdot \lim_{n \to \infty} (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \cdot (T^{n+k}x)(\mathbf{1}-s(\overline{x}))) = \\
= \lambda \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \lim_{n \to \infty} (T^{n+k}x)(\mathbf{1}-s(\overline{x})) = 0.$$

Because the operator T does not increase the norm of the functionals from M_* , we get that

(2.37)
$$\overline{u}(\mathbf{1}-s(\overline{x})) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (T^n u)(\mathbf{1}-s(\overline{x})) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} (T^n w)(\mathbf{1}-s(\overline{x})) + \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T^n (w-u)\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

The needed inequality follows from the arbitrarity of $\varepsilon.$

Proof of the Theorem 1 (cont.). Let us introduce the following notion. For $\mu \in M_*$, we will denote by $\mu.E$, where E is a projection from the algebra M, the functional

(2.38)
$$(\mu . E)(A) = \mu (EAE),$$

where $A \in M$.

Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$. We will find a number N, such that (2.39) $(T^n x)(\mathbf{1}-s(\overline{x})) < \varepsilon^2$

for n > N.

Than

$$\left\|T^{N}x.s(\overline{x}) - T^{N}x\right\| =$$

$$\begin{split} \sup_{\substack{A \in M \\ \|A\|_{\infty} \leq 1}} \left| (T^{N}x)((\mathbf{1} - s(\overline{x}))A(\mathbf{1} - s(\overline{x}))) + (T^{N}x)((s(\overline{x}))A(\mathbf{1} - s(\overline{x}))) + (T^{N}x)((\mathbf{1} - s(\overline{x}))A(s(\overline{x}))) \right| \leq \\ & \leq \varepsilon \cdot (\varepsilon + 2 \|x\|^{\frac{1}{2}}), \end{split}$$

(2.40)

because

(2.41)
$$|\mu(AB)|^2 \le \mu(A^*A) \cdot \mu(B^*B),$$

where $\mu \in M_{*+}$ and $A, B \in M$.

Let $w \in \mathfrak{L}_{\overline{y}}$ is such that

$$(2.42) w \le \lambda \overline{x}$$

for some $\lambda > 0$ and

(2.43)
$$||T^N x.s(\overline{x}) - w|| \le \varepsilon.$$

Then, for n > N, the following is valid:

6

(2.44)
$$\begin{aligned} \|T^n x - T^{n-N} w\| &\leq \|T^{n-N} (T^N x - T^N x. s(\overline{x}))\| + \\ &+ \|T^{n-N} (T^N x. s(\overline{x}) - w)\| \leq 4 \cdot \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the weak limit in the inequality (2.40) and because the unit ball of M_* is closed weakly, we will get

(2.45)
$$\|\overline{x} - \overline{w}\| \le 4 \cdot \varepsilon,$$

where

(2.46)
$$\overline{w} = \lim_{n \to \infty} T^n w$$

Let us now consider the algebra $M_{s(x)}$. The functional \overline{x} is faithful on the algebra $M_{s(x)}$. We will consider the representation $\pi_{\overline{x}}$ of the algebra $M_{s(x)}$ constructed using the functional x [7]. Because the functional \overline{x} is faithful, we can conclude that the representation $\pi_{\overline{x}}$ is faithful on the algebra $M_{s(\overline{x})}$, and therefore $\pi_{\overline{x}}$ is an isomorphism of the algebra $M_{s(\overline{x})}$ and some algebra \mathfrak{A} . The algebra \mathfrak{A} is a von Neumann algebra, and its pre-conjugate space \mathfrak{A}_* is isomorphic to the space $M_*.s(\overline{x})$ ([19]). Let us note now that

$$(2.47) TM_*.s(\overline{x}) \subset M_*.s(\overline{x})$$

In fact,

$$(2.48) T\mathfrak{L}_y \subset \mathfrak{L}_y,$$

and therefore, by taking the norm closure, we get

$$(2.49) TS \subset S;$$

by taking now the linear span, we get

$$(2.50) TM_*.s(\overline{x}) \subset M_*.s(\overline{x}).$$

Let denote by \overline{T} the isomorphic image of the operator T, acting on the space \mathfrak{A}_* . Let

$$(2.51) u \in \mathfrak{A}_{*+} \text{ and } u \leq \lambda \overline{a}$$

for some $\lambda > 0$. Then there exists the operator $B \in \mathfrak{A}'$, where \mathfrak{A}' is a commutant of \mathfrak{A} , such that

$$(2.52) (AB\Omega, \Omega) = u(A)$$

for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$. Note, that from the lemma 2

(2.53)
$$(\overline{T}u)(A) = u((\overline{T})^*A) = (((\overline{T})^*A)B\Omega, \Omega) = (A((\overline{T}^*)'B)\Omega, \Omega).$$

Also, from

(2.54)
$$\overline{T}\mathfrak{A}_{*+} \subset \mathfrak{A}_{*+}, \|\overline{T}u\| \le \|u\| \text{ and } \overline{T}\overline{x} = \overline{x}$$

it follows that

(2.55) $(\overline{T})^*\mathfrak{A}_+; (\overline{T}^*)\mathbf{1} \le \mathbf{1} \text{ and } \|(\overline{T})^*A\|_{\infty} \le \|A\|_{\infty}$

for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$. Based on the lemma we now conclude that

(2.56)
$$\left\| (\overline{T}^*B) \right\|_{\infty} \le \|B\|_{\infty}; \overline{T}^{*'}\mathfrak{A}'_{+} \subset \mathfrak{A}'_{+}; \overline{T}^{*'}\mathbf{1} \le \mathbf{1}$$

for all $B \in \mathfrak{A}'$.

The space \mathfrak{A}'_{sa} is a pre-Hilbert space of the self adjoint operators from \mathfrak{A}' with the scalar product

$$(2.57) (B,C)_{\overline{x}} = (CB\Omega,\Omega),$$

and, using the Kadison inequality [5] we have

(2.58)
$$((\overline{T}^{*'}B)(\overline{T}^{*'}B)\Omega,\Omega) \le (\overline{T}^{*'}(B^2)\Omega,\Omega) \le (B\Omega,B\Omega),$$

i.e. the operator $\overline{T}^{*'}$ is a contraction in the pre-Hilbert space $(\mathfrak{A}'_{sa}, (., .)_{\overline{x}})$.

We will identify $M_*.s(\overline{x})$ and \mathfrak{A}_* . Because $w \in \mathfrak{L}$, i.e.

$$(2.59) w \le \lambda \overline{x}$$

for some $\lambda > 0$, then

$$(2.60) \qquad \overline{w} \le \lambda \overline{x}$$

as well. Let

(2.61)
$$w(A) = (BA\Omega, \Omega) \text{ and } \overline{w}(A) = (\overline{B}A\Omega, \Omega)$$

for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, where $B, \overline{B} \in \mathfrak{A}'$.

Let now $(a_{n,i})$ be a uniformly regular matrix. Using lemma 2 we will find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$\left\|\sum_{i}a'_{k,i}T^{i}w-\overline{w}\right\| = \sup_{\substack{A\in\mathfrak{A}\\\|A\|_{\infty}=1}}\left|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}a'_{k,i}((\overline{T}^{*\prime})^{i}(B-\overline{B})A\Omega,\Omega)\right)\right| \leq \\ \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}a'_{k,i}(\overline{T}^{*\prime})^{i}(B-\overline{B})\Omega,\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}a'_{k,i}(\overline{T}^{*\prime})^{i}(B-\overline{B})\Omega\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \sup_{\substack{A\in\mathfrak{A}\\\|A\|_{\infty}\leq 1}}(A\Omega,A\Omega)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \\ (2.62) \qquad \leq (\overline{x}(\mathbf{1}))^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}a'_{k,i}(\overline{T}^{*\prime})^{i}(B-\overline{B})\right\|_{(...)_{\overline{x}}} < \varepsilon$$

for k > K, where by $(a'_{n,i})$ we will denote a matrix with the elements

(2.63)
$$a'_{n,i} = (\sum_{i>N} a_{n,j})^{-1} a_{n,j+N}$$

It is easy to see that the matrix $(a'_{n,i})$ will be uniformly regular as well. Then, for a big enough k > K we will have

$$\left\|\sum_{i} a_{k,i} T^{i} x - \overline{x}\right\| \leq \sum_{i \leq N} |a_{k,i}| \left\|T^{i} x - \overline{x}\right\| + \sum_{i > N} |a_{k,i}| \left\|T^{i} x - T^{i-N} w\right\| + \sum_{i > N} |a_{k,i}| \left\|1 - (\sum_{i > N} a_{k,i})^{-1}\right\| \left\|T^{i-N} w\right\| + \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{k,j+N} \cdot (\sum_{i > N} a_{k,i})^{-1} T^{j} w - \overline{w}\right\| + \sum_{i > N} |a_{k,i}| \left\|1 - (\sum_{i > N} a_{k,i})^{-1}\right\| \left\|T^{i-N} w\right\| + \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{k,j+N} \cdot (\sum_{i > N} a_{k,i})^{-1} T^{j} w - \overline{w}\right\| + \sum_{i > N} |a_{k,i}| \left\|1 - (\sum_{i > N} a_{k,i})^{-1}\right\| \left\|T^{i-N} w\right\| + \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{k,j+N} \cdot (\sum_{i > N} a_{k,i})^{-1} T^{j} w - \overline{w}\right\| + \sum_{i > N} |a_{k,i}| \left\|1 - (\sum_{i > N} a_{k,i})^{-1} \right\| \left\|T^{i-N} w\right\| + \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{k,j+N} \cdot (\sum_{i > N} a_{k,i})^{-1} T^{j} w - \overline{w}\right\| + \sum_{i > N} |a_{k,i}| \left\|T^{i-N} w\right\| + \left$$

8

$$+ \left\| \left(\sum_{i \le N} a_{k,i} \right) \cdot \overline{w} \right\| + \left| \sum_{i > N} a_{k,i} \right| \left\| \overline{w} - \overline{x} \right\| \le \\ \le \sum_{i \le N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + \sum_{i > N} |a_{k,i}| \cdot 4\varepsilon + \sum_{i > N} |a_{k,i}| \left(1 - (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} \right) \cdot 2 + \sum_{i \le N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot 4\varepsilon \le \\ \le \sum_{i \le N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + \sum_{i > N} |a_{k,i}| \cdot 4\varepsilon + \sum_{i > N} |a_{k,i}| \left(1 - (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} \right) \cdot 2 + \sum_{i \le N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot 4\varepsilon \le \\ \le \sum_{i \le N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + \sum_{i < N} |a_{k,i}| \cdot 4\varepsilon + \sum_{i > N} |a_{k,i}| \left(1 - (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} \right) \cdot 2 + \sum_{i \le N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot 4\varepsilon \le \\ \le \sum_{i \le N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + \sum_{i < N} |a_{k,i}| \cdot 4\varepsilon + \sum_{i < N} |a_{k,i}| \left(1 - (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} \right) \cdot 2 + \sum_{i \le N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot 4\varepsilon \le \\ \le \sum_{i \le N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + \sum_{i < N} |a_{k,i}| \cdot 4\varepsilon + \sum_{i < N} |a_{k,i}| \left(1 - (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} \right) \cdot 2 + \sum_{i \le N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot 4\varepsilon \le \\ \le \sum_{i \le N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + \sum_{i < N} |a_{k,i}| \cdot 4\varepsilon + \sum_{i < N} |a_{k,i}| \left(1 - (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} \right) \cdot 2 + \sum_{i \le N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot 4\varepsilon \le \\ \le \sum_{i \le N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + \sum_{i < N} |a_{k,i}| \cdot 4\varepsilon \le \\ \le \sum_{i \le N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + \sum_{i < N} |a_{k,i}| \cdot 4\varepsilon + \sum_{i < N} |a_{k,i}| \cdot 4\varepsilon + \sum_{i < N} |a_{k,i}| \cdot 4\varepsilon \le \\ \le \sum_{i < N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + \sum_{i < N} |a_{k,i}| \cdot 4\varepsilon + \sum_{i < N} |a_{k,i}| \cdot 4\varepsilon \le \\ \le \sum_{i < N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + \sum_{i < N} |a_{k,i}| \cdot 4\varepsilon \le \\ \le \sum_{i < N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + \sum_{i < N} |a_{k,i}| \cdot 4\varepsilon \le \\ \le \sum_{i < N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + \sum_{i < N} |a_{k,i}| \cdot 4\varepsilon \le \\ \le \sum_{i < N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + \sum_{i < N} |a_{k,i}| \cdot 4\varepsilon \le \\ \le \sum_{i < N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N} + \sum_{i < N} 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N}$$

(2.64)
$$\leq 2\varepsilon + (1+\varepsilon) \cdot 4\varepsilon + \varepsilon \cdot 2 \cdot (1+\varepsilon) + \varepsilon + 2\varepsilon + (1+\varepsilon) \cdot 4\varepsilon \leq 25\varepsilon.$$

The arbitrarity of ε proves the needed statement. The proof of the theorem is now completed. $\hfill \Box$

2.1.1. The case of L_1 -spaces for JBW-algebras. The L_1 -spaces for semifinite JBW-algebras were considered by [4] (see also [1],[12]), were it has been proven that they do coincide with predual spaces. A semifinite JBW-algebra A always represented as

$$(2.65) A = A_{sp} \dotplus A_{ex},$$

where A_{sp} is isometrically isomorphic to operator JW-algebra, and A_{ex} is isometrically isomorphic to the space $C(X, M_3^8)$ of all continuous mappings from a Hyperstoanean compact topological space X onto the exceptional Jordan algebra M_3^8 ([11]). In the case when A does not have direct summands of type I_2 , it is going to be a self-adjoint part of a Real von Neumann algebra $R(A_{sp})$, whose complexification

$$(2.66) R(A_{sp}) \dotplus i R(A_{sp}) = M,$$

where M is the enveloping von Neumann algebra of A_{sp} , and the predual space of A, the space

(2.67)
$$A_* = (A_{sp})_* \dotplus (A_{ex})_*,$$

where $(A_{sp})_*$ is the predual space of A_{sp} , and $(A_{ex})_*$ is the predual space of A_{ex} (see, for example [11] and [2]). The main result for the summand A_{ex} follows immediately from the result for C(X), and the fact that the algebra M_3^8 is finite-dimensional. So, without the loss of generality we are interested in the operator case only. But in the operator case, the space $(A_{sp})_*$ is a self-adjoint part of $R_* = (R(A_{sp}))_*$, and

(2.68)
$$M_* = R_* + iR_*,$$

(see [2] and [16] for details). So, the main result for R_* is thus follows from the complex case by restriction of scalars, and we obtain the main result for L_1 -spaces affiliated to semifinite JBW-algebras without direct type I_2 summand.

3. The case of quantum L_p -spaces, (1

In the case of a non-commutative L_p -space for a semifinite von Neumann algebra, the main result is disscussed in [25].

We will disscuss here the non-associative case.

In this section A denotes a semifinite JBW-algebra without direct summands of type I_2 , with a faithful normal trace τ . By L_p we denote the space of operators affiliated to A, and integrated with p-th power (p > 1), see for example [1],[2],[12]). Space L_q (here $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$) is a dual as Banach space to L_p ([1],[12]). The following theorem is valid: **Theorem 2.** The following conditions for a positive contraction T in the L_p are equivalent:

i). The sequence $\{T^i\}_{i=1,2,\dots}$ converges in $\sigma(L_p,L_q)$ topology,

ii). For each strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers $\{k_i\}_{i=1,2,...,i}$

(3.1)
$$n^{-1} \sum_{i < n} T^{k_i},$$

converges in norm of L_p ,

iii). For any uniformly regular matrix $(a_{n,i})$, the sequence $\{A_n(T)\}_{n=1,2,\ldots}$,

(3.2)
$$A_n(T) = \sum_i a_{n,i} T^i,$$

converges in norm of L_p .

For the sake of completeness we give the following definitions (see, for example [25]), and sketch of the proof. Let ϕ be a gauge function

$$(3.3) \qquad \qquad \phi: \mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+$$

with

(3.4)
$$\phi(0) = 0,$$

and

(3.5)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \phi(t) = \infty.$$

Hahn-Banach theorem implies for strictly convex Banach spaces E with conjugate E' that there exists a duality map

$$(3.6) \qquad \Phi: E \mapsto E'.$$

associated with ϕ such that

(3.7)
$$\langle x, \Phi(x) \rangle = \|x\| \|\Phi(x)\|$$

$$\|\Phi(x)\| = \phi(x)$$

Definition 4. Map Φ is said to satisfy property (S) uniformly if, for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$, such that for any $x, y \in E$,

$$(3.9) \qquad \qquad |\langle x, \Phi(y) \rangle| < \delta(\epsilon).$$

implies

$$(3.10) \qquad \qquad |\langle y, \Phi(x) \rangle| < \epsilon$$

Proof. From section 4 in [12], it follows that the duality map defined as

$$\Phi(a) = s|a|^{p-1}$$

 for

$$(3.12) a = s|a| \in A$$

where a = s|a| is a polar decomposition of element a, satisfies the property (S) uniformly. Hence, the statement of the theorem follows from Theorem 3.1 in [25].

References

- Abdullaev, R.Z., L_p-spaces for Jordan algebras with semifinite trace. (Russian), preprint VINITI, No. 1875-83, 1983, 19 pp.
- [2] Ayupov, Sh.A., Classification and representation of ordered Jordan algebras. (Russian), Tashkent: Fan., 1986, 124 pp.
- [3] Ayupov, Sh.A.; Rakhimov, A.A.; Usmanov, Sh.M., Jordan, real and Lie structures in operator algebras. (English), Mathematics and its Applications (Dordrecht)., Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers., 1997, 225 pp.
- [4] Berdikulov, M.A., Spaces L₁ and L₂ for semifinite JBW-algebras. (Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk UzSSR 1982, No.6, 1982, pp. 3-4.
- [5] Bratteli, O.; Robinson, D., Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics., V I, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1979, 500 pp.
- [6] Dixmier J., Formes lineaires sur une anneau d'operateurs., Bull. Soc. Math. France, 81, 1953, pp. 9–39.
- [7] Dixmier, J., Von Neumann Algebras., North-Holland Mathematical Library, V. 27, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1981, 437 pp.
- [8] Goldstein, M.S., Theorems of Almost Everywhere Convergence in von Neumann Algebras. (Russian), J. Oper. Theory, V. 6, 1981, pp. 233-311.
- [9] Goldstein, M.S.; Grabarnik, G.Y., Almost Sure Convergence Theorems in von Neumann Algebras., Israel J. Math., V. 76, 1991, No. 1-2, pp. 161–182.
- [10] Grabarnik, G.Y.; Katz, A.A., Ergodic Type Theorems for Finite von Neumann Algebras., Israel J. Math., V. 90, 1995, No. 1-3, pp. 403–422.
- [11] Hanche-Olsen, H.; Størmer, E., Jordan operator algebras. (English), Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, 21. Boston - London - Melbourne: Pitman Advanced Publishing Program. VIII, 1984, 183 pp.
- [12] Iochum, B., Non-associative L_p-spaces. (English), Pac. J. Math., 122, 1986, pp. 417-433.
- [13] Jajte, R., Strong limit theorems in noncommutative probability., Lecture Notes in Mathematics, V. 1110, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985, 152 pp.
- [14] Krengel, U., Ergodic Theorems., de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, V. 6, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1985, 357 pp.
- [15] Lance, E. C., Ergodic theorems for convex sets and operator algebras., Invent. Math. V. 37, 1976, No. 3, pp. 201–214.
- [16] Li, B., Real operator algebras. (English), River Edge, NJ: World Scientific, 2003, 241 pp.
- [17] Pedersen, G.K., C*-algebras and their automorphism groups., London Mathematical Society Monographs, V. 14, Academic Press, Inc., London-New York, 1979, 416 pp.
- [18] Petz, D., Ergodic theorems in von Neumann algebras., Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), V. 46, 1983, No. 1-4, pp. 329-343.
- [19] Sakai, S., C*-algebras and W*-algebras., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, V. 60, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1971, 253 pp.
- [20] Segal, I. E., Non-commutative extension of abstarct integration., Ann. of Math., V. 57, 1952, pp. 401-457.
- [21] Sinai, Ja. G.; Anshelevich, V. V., Some questions on noncommutative ergodic theory. (Russian), Uspehi Mat. Nauk, V. 31, 1976, No. 4, 190, pp. 151–167.
- [22] Takesaki, M., Theory of Operator Algebras, I., Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1979, 415 pp.
- [23] Trunov, N.V.; Sherstnev, A.N., Introduction to the theory of noncommutative integration. (Russian, English), J. Sov. Math. 37, 1987, pp. 1504-1523; translation from Itogi Nauki Tekh., Ser. Sovrem. Probl. Mat. 27, 1985, pp. 167-190.
- [24] Yeadon, F. J., Non-commutative L_p-spaces., Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., V. 77, 1975, pp. 91–102.
- [25] Yeadon, F.J.; Kopp, P.E., Inequalities for non-commutative L_p-spaces and an application. (English), J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser. 19, 1979, pp. 123-128.

Genady Ya. Grabarnik, IBM T.J.Watson Research Center, 19 Skyline Dr, Hawthorne, NY 10532, USA

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{genadyQus.ibm.com}$

Alexander A. Katz, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, St. John's University, NY 10301, USA

 $E\text{-}mail \ address: \verb"katza@stjohns.edu"$

LAURA SHWARTZ, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND ASTRONOMY, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AFRICA, PRETORIA 0003, SOUTH AFRICA

E-mail address: lauralsh@hotmail.com