IBM Research Report

Revenue Management in Business Services

Brenda Dietrich, Giuseppe A. Paleologo, Laura Wynter

IBM Research Division Thomas J. Watson Research Center P.O. Box 218 Yorktown Heights, NY 10598



Research Division Almaden - Austin - Beijing - Haifa - India - T. J. Watson - Tokyo - Zurich

LIMITED DISTRIBUTION NOTICE: This report has been submitted for publication outside of IBM and will probably be copyrighted if accepted for publication. It has been issued as a Research Report for early dissemination of its contents. In view of the transfer of copyright to the outside publisher, its distribution outside of IBM prior to publication should be limited to peer communications and specific requests. After outside publication, requests should be filled only by reprints or legally obtained copies of the article (e.g., payment of royalties). Copies may be requested from IBM T. J. Watson Research Center, P. O. Box 218, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598 USA (email: reports@us.ibm.com). Some reports are available on the internet at http://domino.watson.ibm.com/library/CyberDig.nsf/home.

Revenue Management in Business Services

Brenda Dietrich, Giuseppe A. Paleologo and Laura Wynter {dietric, gappy, lwynter}@us.ibm.com

Abstract

A significant portion of the services industry is focused on providing services (medical, legal, financial, personal, travel) to individuals. However, a less visible, but rapidly growing segment of the service sector comprises firms that provide business functions to other businesses (Tanninen-Ahonen, 2003 and Ravi et al., 2006). The sector covers tasks such as payroll processing, procurement, information systems management, as well as business consulting, technical support, call center operations, and software development. Firms may choose to purchase, rather than perform, these business functions to reduce costs, mitigate risk, or simply to focus on their processes that provide marketplace differentiation. Transferring a business function from within a firm to an outside supplier is often called "outsourcing;" when the supplier provides the service from a lower-cost country, it is called "offshoring." The risks and benefits of outsourcing to the firm purchasing a business service have been studied in some detail by both academics and consultants (Clemons and Aron, 2004, Booz Allen Hamilton, 2005, Dibbern et al. 2004, Kremic et al. 2006). In this paper we outline revenue management issues faced by business service providers, and describe some new opportunities for the use of analytic methods in the service science sector.

1. Issues Faced by Business Services Providers

A rapidly growing segment of the business services sector comprises firms that provide business functions to other businesses. The sector includes tasks such as payroll processing, procurement, or information systems management (Tanninen-Ahonen, 2003 and Ravi et al., 2006), as well as business consulting, technical support, call center operations, and software development.

In the market for business services, customers can be partitioned into well-defined tiers, based on the volume and range of service purchased. Some service providers serve primarily small enterprises, others serve primarily large enterprises. The focus of this paper will be Revenue Management of business services for large enterprises (e.g. Fortune 500 firms and large state and national government agencies). Within this tier, most business services are either performed inhouse, or outsourced to a single service provider. In its early incarnations, such services primarily involved functions connected to automation and information access. Examples included the maintenance and management of the infrastructure of large data centers; the term

IBM T.J. Watson Research Center. 1101 Kitchawan Rd, Yorktwon Heights, NY 10471

"outsourcing" is still commonly associated to the delivery of IT services. Over the years, the scope and scale of outsourcing has steadily increased. Entire functions of an enterprise have been moved outside of the enterprise. These functions involve IT components, but also involve a considerable workforce element; an example of such mixture is accounting, which comprises disparate elements such as transactional databases, financial analysts, auditors, and automated reporting systems. In its most recent evolution, providers offer end-to-end business processes to the enterprise. These functions, which are not part of the core business of the enterprise, can be performed more effectively and efficiently by the service provider, who benefits from economies of scope and from reuse. The distinguishing features of Business Services are:

- **Non-storability**: both human labor and information services cannot be accumulated while the resources are idle;
- **Partial or total resource re-use**: both IT and workforce can be shared across customers, or dynamically reallocated during a time interval much shorter than the typical contract duration;
- **Standardization**: in order to achieve economies of scale, business services need to have standard interfaces with other processes of the customer;
- Short service life-cycle: in the current business environment, processes are the subject of continuous innovation. The technology enabling such processes is also undergoing relentless transformations, leading to short product life-cycles;
- Heterogeneous customer population: customers differ in their planning horizon, capital commitment, risk attitude, all features that must be taken into account by the provider in order to maximize the profitability of its offerings;
- **Monopsony power**: the relatively small number of customers allows these customers to influence the price of the offerings as well as the financial terms and conditions of the offering. Consumer services do not exhibit this characteristic, which accounts in part for the more complex fee structures found in business services.

In order to focus the discussion of the issues related to revenue management in business services, this paper concentrates on so-called *Standardized Business Services*. Standardized Business Services (SBS) have some traits in common with Standardized Consumer Services (SCS). By SCS, we mean transportation services such as airlines, railways and rental cars; recreational and hospitality services such as hotel rooms and conference spaces, and cruises; certain health care services such as hospital rooms; and heavy equipment rentals (aircrafts, industrial equipment). All these services have benefited from the application of Revenue Management (RM), which we broadly define as a body of dynamic pricing and inventory policies aimed at maximizing revenue. However, there are important differences, and understanding them is necessary to realize the limits of the current RM theory and to appreciate the novelty of the problems arising in SBS. In the following, we contrast features of Standardized Business Services to those of Standardized Consumer Services.

While it is true that SBS rely on *non-storable* resources as in SCS, the use of human resources in business services is an especially challenging component of the process that has only begun to be modeled and captured in revenue management models. Contrary to analogous models used for manufacturing, supply chain, etc, *the type and quantity of human resources needed to fulfill business service contracts is rarely specified in a form amenable to optimization and profit*

management. In parallel, however, creating and delivering services profitably requires the development of reusable assets, whether capital assets such as information technology infrastructure, consumable assets such as service parts and materials, specialized labor assets such as skilled employees, and proprietary data or processes. In general, the quantification of such resource requirements and resource characteristics, both for equipment and for personnel, are an important component in a business service profit management framework. In business services, such as professional consulting services, the set of resources associated with an offering (e.g., consulting engagement) may have significant variability depending of the specific features of the transaction. Further, the ability to substitute one resource, whether human or computer, for another, is generally higher in business services than in traditional manufacturing. For example, in an effort to make the human resource requirements amenable to optimization, Hu et al. (2007) developed mappings of job roles required by different project types to standard employee skill sets, so as to facilitate the optimization of workforce in consulting service engagements. Those matrices were used by Lu et al. (2006) and Wardell et al. (2007) to give a proof-of-concept for business service profit management. In short, then, the need to quantify the resources required and available to perform business services is a first element that distinguishes revenue management for SBS from that of SCS.

Although services are *standardized* (at least when compared to internal processes), some level of customization is unavoidable. There are several consequences to this. Because of the level of customization required within a large enterprise, service contracts specify not only what process will be provided, but also where and how it will be provided. In fact, when a large enterprise first outsources a process, it is not uncommon for a significant portion of the purchasing enterprises staff and physical resources (e.g., computer hardware) to be transferred to the providing firm. Given the magnitude and complexity of these transactions, it is natural that *the contracted service period for initial outsourcing contracts is quite long*. As enterprises become more experienced in using business services, and as they migrate toward using standardized processes for non-differentiating functions, the scope and duration of business service contracts decrease (The Economist, 2003).

Hence, the typically long length of contract periods for outsourcing in SBS is a second critical factor to consider when engaging in revenue management for SBS: it implies two or more different time horizons that should be modeled jointly, namely the prices and terms and conditions to be set during contract negotiation, and the usage-dependent prices and resource allocations which vary during the course of the contract. This multi-horizon aspect to revenue management in SBS resembles more closely problems in supply chain modeling than the typical revenue management problems found in SCS.

A third distinguishing aspect of standardized business service contracts is the presence of complex fee structures. This is due in part to the monopsony power of the clients and therefore the fact that the contracts are negotiated bilaterally. As in traditional applications such as supply chain optimization, the delivery costs for business services have both fixed and variable components.

Also analogous is the fact that profit is the difference between realized revenue and realized costs, both of which are in turn dependent on resource allocation decisions. Typical

characteristics of the fee structures used in SBS include minimum payments, variable payments based on volume, and penalties for not meeting specific performance targets. Variable-payment fee structures are seldom straightforward and in many cases, involve step functions. Servicelevel agreements are often complex, and may include different structures for penalties and for bonuses. In addition to complex fee structures, business service contracts may include mandatory (time-phased) cost reductions that the service provider must achieve. Typically, service providers seek to achieve cost reduction through the use of lower-cost labor, increased use of automation, and/or decreased technology costs. Often a collection of business processes are outsourced as a bundle, with a single provider acting as a general contractor and subcontracting with other providers for the performance of individual processes. In this case, both pricing structures and terms and conditions of the contracts for the multiple processes must be reconciled across numerous providers. Once again, these features of SBS differ sharply from those found in SCS, due in part to the longer lifecycle of the contracts. Some of these characteristics are found in the supply chain context, but with a greater emphasis in SBS on human resources than on manufacturing and automated processes, there remains work to be done in transferring supply chain models to SBS.

Lastly, in standardized business services, the organization contracting for the services (typically procurement) is separate from the business units or end users of the service. Contracts that are designed to optimize enterprise-level measures may have adverse effects on for the services consumed by individuals within the firm. This occurs when negotiated prices and terms and conditions for services are made at a corporate level, but individual departments are able to obtain those same services punctually from alternate providers at lower cost. Whereas the department that shops outside the negotiated agreement may save money, the contracting arm of the corporation typically has to pay to pay a penalty for going to alternate suppliers. Hence the multiple objectives of the different organizations involved in the service contract and execution should be considered.

In summary, we have identified four areas in which revenue management for standardized business services requires research and new models that go beyond those developed for revenue management of consumer services:

(i) the quantification of the resource requirements and the resources available, especially the human resources, in a form amenable to optimization;

(ii) the modeling of long time horizons with at least two distinct decision phases: contract negotiation and project execution;

(iii) the modeling of complex fee structures; and

(iv) the diverse objectives that arise from multiple parts of the organizations involved in contracting the business services.

Together, these four areas cover the particularities of the end-to-end problem of revenue management that should be addressed for SBS.

In some cases, these topics can borrow much from the supply chain literature that has had a goal of treating the end-to-end supply chain problem. There is considerable overlap between the two, since standardized business services also calls for an end-to-end solution approach. The next section summarizes some research from the supply chain and related literature that is relevant to

revenue management for SBS. However, there are some differences, notably area (i) mentioned above.

2. Analytical Methods

Numerous quantitative methods have been used to address some of the four areas of the revenue management problem for standardized business services. In this section, we discuss the most widely used of these methods, and present examples and references to some of the relevant literature. Then, we propose a few approaches which may lend themselves to moving in the direction of solving the end-to-end problem of revenue management for SBS.

As discussed previously, the end-to-end problem of revenue management for SBS is composed of four main areas, some of which have been extensively studied in the academic literature, and some of which have been successfully implemented in commercial tools. However, several important pieces of the end-to-end chain for SBS have been historically neglected by the analytical community and one of the goals of this paper is thus to stimulate research in those areas. In addition, and perhaps most importantly, this paper seeks to entice readers to address the end-to-end problem itself, rather than one or two parts in isolation.

Elements of the SBS revenue management problem that have been extensively studied already include contract design, risk assessment, workforce planning or staffing and resource provisioning. Decisions such as how to price a service, what types of terms and conditions to include in their contracts (what metrics to include, what levels to set, penalties and bonuses, break-out clauses, etc), how to staff and how to set up contracts with their suppliers, have also been examined in detail.

For instance, a vast body of literature exists on contract design. Game-theoretic and related utility maximization approaches are abundant in the literature. Many of the quantitative papers focus on the nature of the information between the two parties. McAfee and McMillan (1995) proposed describing contracts involving a hierarchy of firms with asymmetric information through a single time-period, game-theoretic framework, where the 'type' of each firm is a random variable, and the firm who sets the contract parameters maximizes expected net profit. In DellaVigna and Malmendier (2004) a utility maximization approach was taken to model a profitmaximizing firm and a consumer; the model considers both long-term contracts, with many decision points, and contracts with automatic renewals; consumers choose at each time step between accepting the contract or not, and the firm must choose the sign-up or renewal fees along with the usage prices at each decision point in the contract, and consumer renewal behavior is expressed by a probability distribution. The resulting problem is expressed as a nonlinear mathematical program, with as many variables as explicit decision points in the contract. Bolton (1990) summarizes the field of long-term contract design with renegotiation, and is concerned with whether information shared by the firm and client is symmetric or observable. Lim (2004) proposes using game theory to study this topic, and in particular, how a firm should design contracts with its suppliers when there is information asymmetry on the quality of the good or service supplied. Her model includes explicit quality control in the form of optimal price rebates paid by the supplier for defective supply, and a cost-shared warranty

scheme for the final consumer of the good/service. The payoff functions for the supplier and the firm are linear with discrete random terms; the game, while having three steps: contract design, supplier accept/reject, and (if the supplier accepts), play according to contract, was simplified to a "direct mechanism" using Fudenberg and Tirole's (1992) "Revelation principle". A comparison of pricing structures for information technology-based outsourcing contracts in the presence of competition was presented in Liu et al. (2003).

With respect to the four areas of by revenue management for SBS, some of the abovementioned references have included relatively complex fee structures, from topic (iii), for instance by including both fixed and variable costs, step functions, etc. However, many have not, and indeed, for use in SBS, the complexity of the fee structures is an important component. In the above references, resource requirements and availability is assumed to be already quantified: indeed, they were not developed with SBS in mind. Hence, with respect to topic (i) the incorporation of human resources and the resulting quantification problems that it poses would be an important addition to that body of literature. Since the scope of the contracting and contract pricing literature is the medium to long term, the topic (ii) is not treated, in general. Topic (iv), the discrepancy between the goals of the procurement organization and the users of the business service, has not been addressed by the literature cited above.

Supply chain-related contract design is of particular relevance to revenue management problems in standardized business services, and hence deserves mention. Tsay and Lovejoy (1999), for example, consider a multi-period setting in which market demand is stochastic, and contracts must be established between each pair of firms: retailer and manufacturer and manufacturer and parts supplier. They formulate a stochastic, dynamic program with a hierarchical flow of information that leads to intractability: notably, the random end-user demands are affected by inventory policies at the manufacturing firm and hence the random distributions in the manufacturer-supplier problems are very complex; the authors suggest solving a sequence of deterministic problems in an open-loop control fashion, i.e., suppressing future updates to previous time steps' parameters. Cachon, in a lengthy survey of supply chain contract modeling (2003), describes a method for identifying "coordinating contracts" and setting their parameters optimally, i.e., so as to achieve coordination amongst parties of the supply chain. Cachon begins with the newsvendor problem as a basis and defines contracts using progressively more complex versions of the model, incorporating such features as multiple replenishment times, demand conditioning, multiple customers for a single supplier, inventory, and private information. In the simplest version of the model, he shows that several contract types "coordinate" effectively the supplier's and the retailer's choices: buy-back contracts, revenue-sharing contracts, quantityflexibility contracts, sales-rebate contracts, and quantity-discount contracts. However, in the case of demand conditioning, for example, in which the retailer takes some costly action to increase demand, thereby benefiting both the retailer itself as well as the supplier. Cachon shows that only quantity-discount contracts can effectively provide incentives to both parties. The analysis takes the form of analyzing the profit functions of the retailer, supplier and of the overall supply chain as a function of the contract parameters.

In these references, specific contract types found in supply chains are analyzed in great detail, and the resulting insights are very useful for developing better policies. The particularities of contracts in SBS, however, are different, and an analogous stream of papers dedicated to

analyzing SBS contract policies would be of great benefit in practice. For example, buy-back and sales-rebate are not particularly relevant to SBS, in terms of quantities of goods remaining; however, it is not uncommon for clients to cancel long-term SBS contracts before the expiration of the term, so that an analog to buy-back or sales-rebate would be early termination. The multiperiod and stochastic nature of the contracts modeled in the abovementioned supply chain literature is quite relevant to SBS revenue management, and different from the existing literature on SCS revenue management; it is clearly an important direction to take in future research for SBS. Again, based on the four problem areas cited in Section 1 of this paper, areas for further enhancement include (i) the incorporation and quantification of human labor as a critical resource, (iii) more complex fee structures, such as step functions, and (iv) the multiple objectives that arise from different parties involved in the contracting.

While operations research-based methods and tools are the focus of this paper, it is worthwhile to note that systems management tools for business-to-business and e-commerce interactions are increasingly available; these emerging platforms and tools permit analytical models and algorithms access to data on the B2B interactions, both at the contract level and at the operational level. One example is the framework described in Herring and Milosevic (2001) that provides contract negotiation tools for B2B using Microsoft's BizNet platform. Using this type of infrastructure, it is a small leap to envisage the incorporation of analytical tools as part of the contract terms and condition (T&C)-setting exercise. Indeed, it would be of tremendous benefit to permit B2B firms to analyze the likely impact of the contract T&C parameters, and optimize during the negotiation process the response of each firm to the other party's proposal. Kelkar et al. (2002) describe a platform for B2B interactions that links contract T&C, information about the products offered, and prices, whose information is transmitted through electronic catalogs of the products, and discuss the XML data structures needed to represent the different forms of pricing useful for B2B e-commerce transactions.

The procurement problem, perhaps more than the contract design problem, has been extensively studied in the supply chain literature, in particular. In view of the work done in providing models and methods for use in B2B contract negotiation, it is natural to ask whether the medium-term planning problem can be taken into account jointly with determination of optimal contract T&C. Analogously, it is natural to ask whether the contract T&C can be effectively integrated into the tactical planning of the B2B operations. This problem, viewed in isolation, is referred to as the optimal procurement problem in the supply chain literature, or the capacity planning problem in networking and other domains. Ample literature exists on models and methods for optimal procurement and capacity planning (see, as an example, Simchi-Levi et al, 2004, Hsu et al., 2006, Lee and Kim, 2002, Tempelmeier, 2001).

In the above literature, some of the four areas described in Section 1 have been addressed, while others have not. Namely, topic (i), the quantification of human resources has not been a focus area for work in procurement and capacity planning. Some exceptions exist and clearly more such work is needed for widespread use of such models in SBS. The long-term nature of the SBS contracts, topic (ii), has in some cases been integrated with the operational problems, especially as pertains to models such as two-stage stochastic programming, that explicitly considers a first

stage for setting terms and conditions, and a second stage of decision-making in which operational parameters can be set. However, as pertains to topic (iii), it is not common for those references that treat the long term and the operational decisions to model complex fee structures as well. However, it is a feature of SBS contracts that cannot be ignored. Finally, topic (iv), the presence of multiple actors in the decision process, has not been treated widely in the procurement and capacity planning literature.

In summary, many piece parts exist to addressing and solving the problem of revenue management for standardized business services. Models and methods can be borrowed from the supply chain literature, the contract negotiation literature, B2B services in information technology, and more. The key to making these models useful for SBS lies in the four areas described in Section 1, the human resource as a critical component, the long-term contract horizon, the complex fee structures, and the multiple actors and decision makers. More and more there is a need for analytic models to help companies plan and execute standardized business services profitably. It is the hope of this paper and this special issue of POMS to stimulate more research and advances in the area of revenue management for business services.

References

P. Bolton. Renegotiation and the Dynamics of Contract Design. European *Economic Review* 34, 303-310. 1990. http://www0.gsb.columbia.edu/faculty/pbolton/PDFS/Renegotiation_Dynamics.pdf

Booz, Allen, Hamilton, Inc, Reigning in Outsourcing Risk, *Strategy+Business*, http://www.strategy-business.com/media/file/sb_kw_11-30-05.pdf, November 30, 2005.

G. Cachon, Supply chain coordination with contracts. *Handbooks in Operations Research and Management Science: Supply Chain Management*. Steve Graves and Ton de Kok, Eds. North Holland, 2003

E. K. Clemons and R. Aron, Maximizing Your Outsourcing Benefits Through Complexity Arbitrage, Wharton Working Paper, University of Pennsylvania, http://opim.wharton.upenn.edu/~clemons/files/outsourcing-v5.pdf, 2004.

S. DellaVigna and U. M. Malmendier, Contract Design and Self-Control: Theory and Evidence, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 119 (2), 353-402, May 2004.

J. Dibbern, T., Goles, R., Hirschheim, and B., Jayatilaka, Information systems outsourcing: a survey and analysis of the literature. *SIGMIS Database* 35(4), 6-102, Nov. 2004.

The Economist, Hungry tiger, dancing elephant. April 4, 2007.

D. Fudenberg and J. Tirole, Game Theory, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1991.

C. Herring and Z. Milosevic, Implementing B2B contracts using BizTalk, Proceedings of the 34th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, 2001.

V. N. Hsu, C.Y. Lee, and K.C. So, Optimal Component Stocking Policy for Assemble-to-Order Systems with Lead-Time-Dependent Component and Product Pricing, *Management Science*, 52(3), 337-351, 2006.

J. Hu, B. Ray, M. Singh, Statistical methods for automated generation of services engagement staffing plans, *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, to appear, 2007.

O. Kelkar, J. Leukel and V. Schmitz, Price Modeling in Standards for Electronic Product Catalogs Based On XML, *in Proceedings of the WWW Conference*, Honolulu, 2002.

T. Kremic, O. Icmeli Tukel, and W. O. Rom, Outsourcing decision support: a survey of benefits, risks, and decision factors *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 11(6), 467-482, 2006

Y.H. Lee, and S.H. Kim, Production-distribution planning in supply chain considering capacity constraints. *Comput. Ind. Eng.* 43, 1-2 (Jul. 2002), 169-190, July 2002.

W.S. Lim, Producer-Supplier Contracts with Incomplete Information, *Management Science*, 47(5), 709 – 715, May 2001.

Z. Liu, C.H. Xia and L. Wynter, Pricing Information Services in a Competitive Market: Avoiding Price Wars, *Proceedings of EC'03 - ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce*, San Diego, June 2003.

Y. Lu, A. Radovanovic and M. S. Squillante, Workforce Management and Optimization using Stochastic Network Models, *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Service Operations and Logistics, and Informatics*, 276-286, June, 2006.

R. P. McAfee and J. McMillan, Organizational Diseconomies of Scale, *Journal of Economics and Management Strategy* 4, 399-426, Fall 1995.

R. Ravi, L. Rowan, P. McStravick, S. Loynd, and B. J. Bingham, Worldwide and U.S. Business Process Outsourcing 2006–2010 Forecast: Market Opportunities by Horizontal Business Process, IDC Doc # 204178, Nov 2006, www.idc.com.

D. Simchi-Levi, S. D. Wu, and Z-J. Shen, *Handbook of quantitative supply chain analysis: modeling in the e-business era*. International series in operations research & management science. Boston: Kluwer, 2004.

T. Tanninen-Ahonen, Professional Business Services: The Key to Innovation, Institute for the Future (IFTF) Ten-Year Forecast, Menlo Park, CA, 2003.

A.A. Tsay and W.S. Lovejoy, Quantity Flexibility Contracts and Supply Chain Performance, *Manufacturing and Service Operations Management*, 1(2), 89-111, 1999.

H. Tempelmeier, Supply Chain Planning with Advanced Planning Systems, *Paper presented at the 3rd Aegean International Conference on Design and Analysis of Manufacturing Systems*, Tinos Island, Greece, May 19-22, 2001.

C. Wardell, L. Wynter and M. Helander, Capacity- and Value-Based Pricing Model for Professional Services Including Bundled and Non-Bundled Engagements, *IBM Research Report*, 2007.