

November 14, 2000

RT0383

Computer Science; Human-Computer Interaction; Mathematics 8 pages

# Research Report

## Multiscale Computing

Mei Kobayashi, Toshio Irino and Wim Sweldens

IBM Research, Tokyo Research Laboratory

IBM Japan, Ltd.

1623-14 Shimotsuruma, Yamato

Kanagawa 242-8502, Japan



**Research Division**

**Almaden - Austin - Beijing - Haifa - India - T. J. Watson - Tokyo - Zurich**

### **Limited Distribution Notice**

This report has been submitted for publication outside of IBM and will be probably copyrighted if accepted. It has been issued as a Research Report for early dissemination of its contents. In view of the expected transfer of copyright to an outside publisher, its distribution outside IBM prior to publication should be limited to peer communications and specific requests. After outside publication, requests should be filled only by reprints or copies of the article legally obtained (for example, by payment of royalties).

# Multiscale Computing

Mei Kobayashi <sup>\*†</sup>, Toshio Irino <sup>‡</sup>, and Wim Sweldens <sup>§¶</sup>

November 16, 2000

## Abstract

*Multiscale computing* (MSC) involves the computation, manipulation and analysis of information at different resolution levels. Widespread use of MSC algorithms and the discovery of important relationships between different approaches to implementation were catalyzed, in part, by the recent interest in wavelets. We present two examples which demonstrate how MSC can help scientists understand complex data. The first is from acoustical signal processing and the second from computer graphics.

---

\*To whom reprint requests should be addressed

<sup>†</sup>IBM Research, Tokyo Research Laboratory, 1623-14 Shimotsuruma, Yamato-shi, Kanagawa-ken 242-8502 Japan, tel: +81-462-15-4934, fax: +81-462-73-7428, e-mail: [mei@jp.ibm.com](mailto:mei@jp.ibm.com), homepage: [www.trl.ibm.co.jp/projects/index\\_e.htm](http://www.trl.ibm.co.jp/projects/index_e.htm)

<sup>‡</sup>NTT Communication Science Laboratories, 2-4 Hikaridai, Seika-cho, Soraku-gun, Kyoto 619-0237 Japan, tel: +81-774-93-5333, fax: +81-774-93-5345, e-mail: [irino@cslab.kecl.ntt.co.jp](mailto:irino@cslab.kecl.ntt.co.jp), homepage: [www.kecl.ntt.co.jp/icl/signal/irino/index.html](http://www.kecl.ntt.co.jp/icl/signal/irino/index.html)

<sup>§</sup>Bell Laboratories, Room 2C-276, 600 Mountain Ave., Murray Hill, NJ 07974 USA, tel: 1-908-582-3288, fax: 1-908-5822-5857, e-mail: [wim@lucent.com](mailto:wim@lucent.com), homepage: [www.wim.sweldens](http://www.wim.sweldens)

<sup>¶</sup>The names on this manuscript are listed in order of the talks at the third annual Japanese-American Frontiers in Science Symposium, held Sept. 22–24, 2000, at the Arnold and Mabel Beckman Center of the National Academy of Sciences and Engineering in Irvine, CA, USA, conference URL:

[www4.nationalacademies.org/NAS/](http://www4.nationalacademies.org/NAS/)

[nashome.nsf/f45867247b806311852566f20016142d/73a504d3767d9ea3852566f800765f83?OpenDocument](http://nashome.nsf/f45867247b806311852566f20016142d/73a504d3767d9ea3852566f800765f83?OpenDocument)

## Introduction

*Multiscale computing* (MSC) is concerned with methods for computing, manipulating and analyzing information at different resolution levels. The field has undergone tremendous advances during the past decade due to the increase in inexpensive, powerful hardware. The concurrent development of advanced algorithms and data structures to reduce computational, data access and communication costs has played an equally vital role. MSC is used in many disciplines, but its presence is often obscured as it appears under several different names, depending on the field of application. For instance, it appears as *multiresolution analysis* in wavelet theory, *compression* in signal analysis, *progressive meshing* in computer graphics, and *clustering* in the study of databases. The discovery of important relationships between different algorithms and implementation techniques for MSC were catalyzed, in part, by the development of wavelet theory. The primary aim of this paper is to describe how MSC can help scientists understand complex data through two examples: one from acoustical signal processing and a second from computer graphics. But first, we take a short digression to explain some basic concepts used in the examples.

## Wavelets

Until recently scientists used approximation methods based on the ease of proving error bounds and computation. Polynomials and Fourier methods dominated the scientific landscape despite well-known drawbacks. For instance, polynomials diverge on unbounded intervals and the *Gibbs phenomenon* plagues the Fourier expansion of discontinuous functions. The increase in powerful computers during the last decade freed scientists from methods which involve only simple computations. What are the consequences? Given a function  $f$ , when computational considerations are set aside, our natural inclination is to use a basis with functions which converge quickly and have properties similar to  $f$ . Wavelet theory is based on this idea. The Fourier expansion usually works well for periodic functions which are smooth, because the associated basis functions (cosines and sines) are smooth and periodic. What if  $f$  is a piecewise constant function? We are more likely to accurately approximate  $f$  than Fourier methods by using a basis which consists of *characteristic functions*<sup>1</sup> with unit support<sup>2</sup> such as

$$B_1 = \{ \chi_{[m, m+1[} : m \in \mathcal{Z} \} .$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The *characteristic function*  $\chi_{[a, b[}$  on the finite interval  $[a, b[$  is one on  $[a, b[$  and naught elsewhere.

<sup>2</sup>The *support* of a function  $f(x)$  is the set of  $x$  for which function is nonzero.

Suppose additionally that the discontinuities of  $f(x)$  lie at the points  $x = 0.5, 2.0$  and  $4.5$ . A better approximation could be made using a basis with a finer scale, such as

$$B_{1/2} = \{ \chi_{[n/2, (n+1)/2[} : n \in \mathcal{Z} \} .$$

If we already have a coarse approximation using  $B_1$  and want one of a finer scale using  $B_{1/2}$ , we not have to compute from scratch if we use wavelets.

In the context of wavelet theory, the basis elements  $\chi$  in the example above are the *scaling functions*. And the corresponding wavelets are the *Haar* wavelets, which are smaller or larger versions of the *generating function*

$$\psi_{\text{Haar}}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{2}, \\ -1, & \frac{1}{2} \leq x < 1, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Addition and subtraction of Haar wavelets are efficient tools for refining the coarser approximation.

We are now prepared for the formal definition: *Wavelets are families of functions:*

$$\psi_{a,b} = |a|^{-1/2} \psi \left( \frac{x-b}{a} \right) ; \quad a, b \in \mathcal{R}, \quad a \neq 0$$

*generated from a single function  $\psi$  by dilations and translations*" [1]. One of the applications of wavelet theory is to construct a basis for efficient and accurate approximation of functions and signals at different scales and to provide for a simple and fast means for moving between different scales, according to user needs. To approximate functions, most engineers begin with a generating wavelet  $\psi(x)$  which has *compact support*<sup>3</sup> and  $\int \psi(x) dx = 0$ , since a considerable number of theorems have been developed for this class of wavelets<sup>4</sup>. A second class of applications associated with wavelets involves time-frequency analysis of non-stationary signals. It has generated more interest than MSC. The exponential increase in wavelet-related patents issued in the United States during the last decade and the large proportion of those using time-frequency analysis is one

---

<sup>3</sup>A function has *compact support* if its support is a compact (closed and bounded) set.

<sup>4</sup>Actually, most of the theorems apply to a wider class of wavelets  $\psi$  which satisfy the weaker *admissibility condition*:  $2\pi \int d\xi |\xi|^{-1} |\hat{\psi}(\xi)|^2 < \infty$ , where  $\hat{\psi}$  denotes the Fourier transform of the wavelet and  $\xi$  the variable in the transform space. Since testing for convergence of the integral is cumbersome, the stricter requirement (given above) is normally used in practice since it is easier to verify.

quantitative measure of this observation [7]. Time-frequency analysis involves transforms, which are defined as follows. For wavelets with mother function  $\psi$ , the *continuous wavelet transform* for a function  $f(x) \in L^2(\mathcal{R})$  is

$$\langle \psi_{a,b}, f \rangle = |a|^{-1/2} \int dx \cdot \psi \left( \frac{x-b}{a} \right) \cdot f(x)$$

for  $a, b \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , and the *discrete wavelet transform* is

$$\langle \psi_{m,n}, f \rangle = |a_0|^{-m/2} \int dx \cdot \psi(a_0^{-m}x - nb_0) \cdot f(x)$$

for  $a_0 > 1$ ,  $b_0 \neq 0$ . Two other important topics associated with wavelets are: filter bank [13] and operator theory [10] <sup>5</sup>.

The remarkable ability of wavelets to facilitate movement between families of bases which are constructed from a single function, but of different scale lies at the heart of many algorithms associated with MSC, but it is not the only method for scaling data. The next section is a summary of auditory modeling work in which the Mellin transform is used to re-scale human speech signals. References to scientifically important and beautiful examples of wavelet- and nonwavelet-based MSC in graphics are given in the section which follows. We note that very simple applications of MSC, such as multiscale display of geographical data [3] and removal of noise [12] can also be important tools in their respective fields of application.

### Re-scaling Human Speech Signals via the Mellin Transform

A fundamental question in the study of human auditory mechanisms is the following. Suppose that a man, woman and child are asked to read aloud a sentence. *How does the human auditory system process the information and identify that the same sentence has been spoken by all three ?* To answer this question, we must first tackle the simpler one of how the auditory system adjusts for the difference in body sizes and vocal tracts of the humans who are speaking. The existence of some kind of intelligent processing is conceivable since we can appreciate and recognize the same, beautiful tune in *C* major whether it is played on a violin, viola, cello or bass, even when there is a shift in octaves.

Irino and Patterson proposed a model to answer this question. They observed in numerous experiments that essential features in speech signals can be consistently mapped by the Mellin transform to the (nearly same) location in the time-scale plane, regardless

---

<sup>5</sup>Useful resources for introductions to wavelets include:

the MathSoft wavelet pages: [www.mathsoft.com/wavelets.html](http://www.mathsoft.com/wavelets.html),

Amara's page: [www.amara.com/current/wavelet.html](http://www.amara.com/current/wavelet.html), and

the Wavelet Digest: [www.wavelet.org](http://www.wavelet.org).

of the speaker's body size [5],[6]. The Mellin transform  $F(p)$  of a function  $f(x)$  is defined as

$$F(p) = \int_0^{\infty} f(x) x^{p-1} dx = \int_0^{\infty} f(x) e^{(p-1)\ln x} dx ,$$

where  $x$  and  $s$  denote the respective variable parameters for the functions and its transform. Irino and Patterson go on to note that the Mellin hypothesis enables us to interpret the peripheral auditory system as an optimal signal processor. The mathematical model operates as follows. First, the wavelet transform is used to simulate the auditory filter-bank. The wavelet transform is suited for this role, and it is transparent to the Mellin transform, i.e., all of the wavelet kernels – even with dilations – are mapped into a uniform distribution by the Mellin transform, so it is easy to deconvolve the filter component from the components of sound in the outside world. Second, the kernel function for the auditory filter is derived as a solution to an eigenvalue problem which satisfies certain minimal uncertainty constraints in the time-scale plane; both psychophysical and physiological data are simulated well by this filter function [4].

The model in this section maps signals produced by humans of different scales to the same location in time-scale space. In the next section we consider the reverse mapping. Graphical models of objects are mapped to several different scales according to user needs or the virtual distance from the object to the user.

## Multiscale Computer Graphics

One of the goals of modern computer graphics has been to develop increasingly better technologies for fast and accurate presentation of three-dimensional (3D) virtual objects at resolution levels which are appropriate for individual user needs. These technologies have been used in the development of flight simulators for training military and civilian pilots. The devices work as follows. When a simulated object is beyond a certain distance, a very crude model of the object is used. The model has relatively few meshpoints with virtually no rendering, shading or texture. When a pilot approaches the object and reaches certain distance thresholds in virtual space, the model for the object is replaced with one of a finer scale with more detailed rendering, shading and texture. Conversely, as one moves away from an object, successively coarser models are substituted. This technology has been extended for use in walk-throughs and fly-bys for electronic games.

Recently scientists are exploring a new generation of MSC algorithms for a wider range of applications. Examples from this emerging area of research appear in the homepages of the *Digital Michelangelo Project* <sup>6</sup> at Stanford and the *Multi-Res Group Modeling*

---

<sup>6</sup>[graphics.stanford.edu/projects/mich/](http://graphics.stanford.edu/projects/mich/)

*Group*<sup>7</sup> at Cal Tech. One goal of the Michelangelo project is to generate realistic 3D images of some of the artist's sculptures from laser and range scanner data [9],[11]. Art historians will be able to "see" and study the chisel marks to learn about the shapes of the chisels and techniques used to carve the marble. The marks on the actual sculpture are so fine that they cannot be perceived in detail by the unaided eye. Another goal is to enhance the visits of museum goers and archives of virtual digital museums. For this second purpose, a coarser resolution images will suffice since the objects will be viewed for their artistic beauty.

MRC of 3D models have also been conducted by Schröder, Sweldens et al. using wavelet and non-wavelet-based approaches [2],[8] for industrial as well as academic applications. The works of various scientific groups in this discipline differ primarily in the choice of primitives used for meshing and how and what types of information are stored and compressed during MRC. Surveys on MSC of meshes are given on the homepages of Owen<sup>8</sup> and Guibas<sup>9</sup> and in the *Annual Proceedings of ACM SIGGRAPH* and *ACM Transactions on Graphics*. A good MSC algorithm for mesh generation will: minimize the amount of storage space for descriptors (e.g., node locations and connectivities, normal and tangent vectors) as much as possible; facilitate movement between meshes of different scales; and facilitate efficient rendering and shading algorithms at all scales. The need for these requirements can be understood in light of an example from the Michelangelo project. A 3D 0.25mm resolution scan of the 2.7 mm statue of Saint Matthew generated 102,868,637 points, making a 644MB file. Some approaches to multiscale meshing which have been proposed are: mesh compression, wavelets, zerotree coders and irregular subdivision. The plethora of topological primitives and their possible contortions make it impossible to conclude which algorithm will always be the *best*. The only foregone conclusion is that state-of-the-art algorithms for graphics will continue to be based on meshing, rendering and shading algorithms which are designed in ways that complement each other's strengths to improve performance.

In closing, we would like to acknowledge the generosity of our co-authors and colleagues for many helpful discussions, and we welcome readers to explore visual and auditory demos, slide shows and downloads of selected publications, which are available on our respective homepages.

---

<sup>7</sup>[www.multires.caltech.edu/](http://www.multires.caltech.edu/)

<sup>8</sup>[www.andrew.cmu.edu/user/sowen/survey/](http://www.andrew.cmu.edu/user/sowen/survey/)

<sup>9</sup>[www-graphics.stanford.edu/~guibas/GeomSem/99winter/schedule.html](http://www-graphics.stanford.edu/~guibas/GeomSem/99winter/schedule.html)

## References

- [1] Daubechies, I. (1992), *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*, SIAM, Philadelphia.
- [2] Guskov, I., Vidimce, K., Sweldens, W., Schröder, P. (2000), “Normal Meshes”, *Proc. ACM SIGGRAPH*, ACM, NY.
- [3] Hiyama, S., Kobayashi, M. (1998), “Wavelet-based multiresolution display of shoreline data”, in Kobayashi, M., ed., *Wavelets and Their Applications*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1–24.
- [4] Irino, T., Patterson, R. (1997), “A time-domain, level-dependent auditory filter”, *J. Acoust. Soc. America*, 101 (1), 412–419.
- [5] Irino, T., Patterson, R. (1999), “Stabilised wavelet Mellin transform”, *Eurospeech99*, Budapest, 1899–1902.
- [6] Irino, T., Patterson, R. (2001), “Segregating information about the size and shape of the vocal tract using a time-domain auditory model”, *Speech Communication*, in press.
- [7] Kobayashi, M. (2000), “Wavelet Analysis: Applications in Industry”, 167–202 in He, T., ed., *Wavelet Analysis and Multiresolution Methods*, Marcel Dekker, NY.
- [8] Khodakovsky, A., Schroder, P., Sweldens, W. (2000), “Progressive Geometry Compression”, *Proc. ACM SIGGRAPH*, ACM, NY.
- [9] Levoy, M. et al. (2000), “The Digital Michelangelo Project”, *Proc. ACM SIGGRAPH*, ACM, NY.
- [10] Meyer, Y. (1991), *Ondelettes et Operateurs*, Hermann, Paris.
- [11] Rusinkiewicz, S., Levoy, M. (2000), “QSplat”, *Proc. ACM SIGGRAPH*, ACM, NY.
- [12] Sakakibara, S. (1998), “A wavelet-based technique for reducing noise in laboratory data”, in Kobayashi, M., ed., *Wavelets and Their Applications*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 25–44.
- [13] Strang, G., Nguyen, T. (1996), *Wavelets and Filter Banks*, Wellesley-Cambridge, Wellesley, MA.